California Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)

Program
Application
Data

FY 2001

CONTENTS

	EOIP	Contracts	for	United	States	for	FY	2001
--	-------------	-----------	-----	--------	--------	-----	----	------

EQIP Contracts by State for all FY

EQIP Contracts by FY for California

Cancelled EQIP Contracts in California for all FY

EQIP Contracts by County for all FY

EQIP Contracts by County and Fund Code for FY2001

EQIP Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by County for all years

EQIP Conservation Practices Planned and Installed in California for all years

EQIP Irrigation System Practices Planned and Installed California for FY2001

Back To Contents

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Environmental Quality Incentives Program

Contracts by fiscal year for United States

Data through 4th Quarter, FY2001

Fiscal Year:	<i>1997</i>				
	Contracts	Contract ObligationsSupp	olemental Funding	g*Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	24,592	\$173,602,398	\$572,716	8,633,234	2,602,171

Averages: \$7,059 351 106

Fiscal Year:

	<u>Contracts</u>	Contract Obligations Supple	<u>emental Funding</u>	*Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	20,100	\$152,418,829	\$0	9,278,480	2,258,580
Averages:		\$7,583		462	112

Fiscal Year:

	Contracts	Contract Obligations Supple	emental Funding	*Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	18,486	\$133,813,496	\$0	8,730,308	2,043,372
Averages:		\$7,239		472	111

Fiscal Year: 2000

	Contracts	Contract ObligationsSupple	mental Funding	*Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	16,164	\$131,848,319	\$0	7,459,689	1,824,174
Averages:		\$8,157		462	113

Fiscal Year: 2001

	<u>Contracts</u>	Contract ObligationsS	Supplemental Fundin	g*Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	17,389	\$151,470,821	\$18,630,905	8,544,465	1,918,548
Averages:		\$8,711		491	110

Summary:

	Contracts	<u>Contract</u>	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Grand Totals:	96,731	\$743,153,863	42,646,176	10,646,845
		\$7,683	441	110

Back To Contents

MS Access Report: US-Contracts, designed 2/18/2000, Page 1 of 1

^{*} Supplemental Funding which is included in totals; for FY1997 contracts is FY2001 funds used for Contract Modifications and

Contracts by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

Thursday, October 18, 2001

•	Number of	Cost-Sl	hares	Farm Acı	res under	Cropland Acres u	nder Contract
<u>Stat</u>	Contract	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	Average
ALABAMA	3,138	\$14,157,621	\$4,512	458,499	146	249,475	80
ALASKA	76	\$1,392,448	\$18,322	170,656	2,245	7,747	102
AMERICAN SAMOA	24	\$252,950	\$10,540	119	5	90	4
ARIZONA	954	\$19,957,768	\$20,920	5,457,825	5,721	297,333	312
ARKANSAS	4,936	\$19,331,833	\$3,916	874,081	177	619,250	125
CALIFORNIA	2,551	\$27,711,858	\$10,863	1,262,572	495	372,556	146
COLORADO	2,953	\$27,121,826	\$9,184	1,862,473	631	419,499	142
CONNECTICUT	86	\$2,422,102	\$28,164	12,180	142	5,638	66
DELAWARE	674	\$3,642,601	\$5,404	63,028	94	40,577	60
FLORIDA	2,136	\$18,322,838	\$8,578	669,064	313	339,246	159
GEORGIA	2,857	\$16,233,166	\$5,682	529,872	185	247,428	87
GUAM	29	\$357,431	\$12,325	210	7	115	4
HAWAII	148	\$2,579,552	\$17,429	208,038	1,406	8,641	58
IDAHO	894	\$13,276,188	\$14,850	340,102	380	154,853	173
ILLINOIS	2,834	\$16,183,177	\$5,710	379,827	134	288,992	102
INDIANA	2,532	\$11,420,264	\$4,510	267,752	106	192,933	76
IOWA	2,600	\$17,747,972	\$6,826	445,396	171	326,356	126
KANSAS	3,157	\$19,504,549	\$6,178	906,065	287	417,104	132
KENTUCKY	1,712	\$12,050,961	\$7,039	241,140	141	152,173	89
LOUISIANA	5,481	\$16,711,710	\$3,049	846,239	154	616,898	113
MAINE	942	\$8,904,226	\$9,452	379,181	403	49,084	52
MARIANA ISLANDS	50	\$393,281	\$7,866	156	3	116	2
MARYLAND	1,989	\$7,808,444	\$3,926	261,096	131	138,935	70
MASSACHUSETTS	289	\$2,975,060	\$10,294	29,232	101	10,067	35
MICHIGAN	1,097	\$17,362,179	\$15,827	132,624	121	90,362	82
MINNESOTA	1,339	\$22,237,854	\$16,608	1,752,955	1,309	157,087	117
MISSISSIPPI	3,665	\$19,445,261	\$5,306	753,766	206	439,834	120
MISSOURI	2,652	\$19,213,817	\$7,245	485,491	183	355,963	134
MONTANA	1,352	\$21,336,001	\$15,781	430,211	318	147,934	109
NEBRASKA	2,321	\$19,823,985	\$8,541	1,482,357	639	317,239	137
NEVADA	295	\$4,971,528	\$16,853	1,075,692	3,646	79,566	270
NEW HAMPSHIRE	249	\$2,152,471	\$8,644	38,288	154	8,030	32
NEW JERSEY	543	\$3,506,205	\$6,457	53,583	99	34,988	64
NEW MEXICO	1,798	\$19,661,674	\$10,935	6,673,195	3,711	290,219	161
NEW YORK	1,324	\$15,666,644	\$11,833	222,894	168	119,924	91
NORTH CAROLINA	4,166	\$14,375,961	\$3,451	295,257	71	132,809	32
NORTH DAKOTA	2,390	\$15,127,924	\$6,330	1,001,430	419	487,848	204
OHIO	2,058	\$12,354,412	\$6,003	241,812	117	148,357	72
OKLAHOMA	3,637	\$19,089,529	\$5,249	968,402	266	294,680	81
OREGON	841	\$15,476,923	\$18,403	711,887	846	192,686	229
PENNSYLVANIA	1,329	\$14,280,009	\$10,745	166,007	125	92,900	70
PUERTO RICO	476	\$2,400,956	\$5,044	27,471	58	18,181	38
RHODE ISLAND	55	\$1,129,810	\$20,542	5,760	105	1,906	35
SOUTH CAROLINA	1,950	\$9,134,758	\$4,684	271,750	139	130,806	67
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,390	\$17,695,471	\$12,731	641,049	461	182,189	131
TENNESSEE	2,154	\$11,701,105	\$5,432	290,654	135	169,970	79 125
TEXAS	8,169	\$58,097,932	\$7,112	5,753,182	704	1,100,718	135
UTAH	1,041	\$16,021,461	\$15,390	664,614	638	71,238	68

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_State-List, designed 3/9/2000, page 1 of 2

Contracts by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

	Number of	Cost-Sl	hares	Farm Acr	es under	Cropland Acres u	nder Contract
<u>Stat</u>	Contract	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>
VERMONT	647	\$5,372,891	\$8,304	111,721	173	38,638	60
VIRGIN ISLANDS	112	\$341,136	\$3,046	18,392	164	3,004	27
VIRGINIA	1,147	\$11,799,613	\$10,287	163,000	142	57,755	50
WASHINGTON	857	\$16,309,715	\$19,031	338,286	395	115,949	135
WEST VIRGINIA	1,189	\$7,088,114	\$5,961	203,641	171	35,827	30
WISCONSIN	2,648	\$16,357,151	\$6,177	457,680	173	221,153	84
WYOMING	798	\$13,957,926	\$17,491	1,548,325	1,940	153,980	193
Totals	96,731	\$723,950,242	\$7,484	42,646,17	441	10,646,845	110

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_State-List, designed 3/9/2000, page 2 of 2 Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Contracts by fiscal year

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

CALIFORNIA

Fiscal Year:	1997			
	Contracts Co	ontract Obligations	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	400	\$4,795,500	247,859	64,345
Averages:		\$11,989	620	161
Fiscal Year:	1998			
	Contracts Co	ontract Obligations	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	400	\$5,431,931	285,878	56,569
Averages:		\$13,580	715	141
Fiscal Year:	1999			
	Contracts Co	ontract Obligations	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	559	\$5,915,388	269,297	112,136
Averages:		\$10,582	482	201
Fiscal Year:	2000			
	Contracts Co	ontract Obligations	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	614	\$5,688,506	208,953	65,816
Averages:		\$9,265	340	107
Fiscal Year:	2001			
	Contracts Co	ontract Obligations	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Totals:	578	\$5,880,533	250,584	73,691
Averages:		\$10,174	434	127
State Summary:				
 	Contracts	<u>Contract</u>	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
State Totals:	2,551	\$27,711,858	1,262,572	372,556
State Averages:		\$10,863	495	146

Back To Contents

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_State, designed 2/22/2000, page 6 of 56Friday, November 02, 2001

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset - (FY2001 numbers are for a part of the year and are not complete)

Cancelled Contracts by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Alameda 1 \$3,695 480 Butte 1 \$29,866 748 7. Colusa 12 \$97,309 3,245 2,4 Fresno 5 \$71,759 10,982 2 Glenn 1 \$14,724 84 Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 5 Imperial 3 \$16,759 \$140 1 Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,238 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$7,338 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41		Number of	Cost-Shares	Farm Acres	Cropland Acres
Bute 1 \$29,866 748 7 Colusa 12 \$97,309 3,245 2,4 Fresno 5 \$71,759 10,982 2 Glenn 1 \$14,724 84 Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 5 Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 1 Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Mono 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 1 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1	County	Contracts	Obligated	<u>underContrac</u>	under Contract
Colusa 12 \$97,309 3,245 2,4 Fresno 5 \$71,759 10,982 2 Glenn 1 \$14,724 84 Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 5 Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 1 Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 4 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 4 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 4 Placer 4 \$31,	Alameda	1	\$3,695	480	0
Colusa 12 \$97,309 3,245 2,4 Fresno 5 \$71,759 10,982 2 Glenn 1 \$14,724 84 Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 5 Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 1 Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Nedocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 4 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 4	Butte	1	*	748	722
Fresno 5 \$71,759 10,982 2 Glenn 1 \$14,724 84 Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 5 Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 1 Kern 9 \$88,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 9 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 1 Napa 3 \$19,409 108 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 4 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 7 Riverside 3 \$22,100	Colusa	12		3,245	2,418
Humboldt 6 \$13,889 955 50 Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 11 Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,77 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 7 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 4 Mono 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 1 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 5 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1	Fresno	5			244
Imperial 3 \$16,759 140 1 Kern 9 \$885,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 7,162 Merced 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Mono 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 7 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Bemardino 1 \$3,509 145 Shatsa 1 \$	Glenn	1	\$14,724	84	77
Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 1 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 1 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 9 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 9 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 9 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1- Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 4 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3	Humboldt	6	\$13,889	955	594
Kern 9 \$85,780 6,969 6,7 Kings 1 \$12,638 25 1 Lake 1 \$20,143 28 1 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 9 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 9 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 9 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 4 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3	Imperial	3	\$16,759	140	127
Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 6 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 6 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 4 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 3 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Benito 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Siskiyou		9	\$85,780	6,969	6,728
Lake 1 \$20,143 28 Los Angeles 1 \$8,861 8,000 6 Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 6 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 6 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 4 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 3 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Benito 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Siskiyou	Kings	1	\$12,638	25	21
Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Mono 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 5 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 5 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 3 Shasta 1 \$2,0371 25 3 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter <t< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>\$20,143</td><td>28</td><td>28</td></t<>		1	\$20,143	28	28
Madera 11 \$11,328 956 9 Marin 2 \$21,726 1,035 Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Mono 1 \$7,738 \$20 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 5 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 5 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 3 Shasta 1 \$2,0371 25 3 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter <t< td=""><td>Los Angeles</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>8,000</td><td>652</td></t<>	Los Angeles	1		8,000	652
Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 11 Napa 3 \$19,409 108 11 Napa 3 \$19,409 108 11 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 41 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Sikiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1		11	\$11,328	956	917
Mendocino 4 \$59,350 7,162 Merced 1 \$2,208 40 Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 11 Napa 3 \$19,409 108 11 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 5 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1	Marin	2	\$21,726	1,035	13
Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 10 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 1 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 7 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 5 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Statislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5	Mendocino	4			61
Mono 1 \$7,738 520 4 Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 108 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 12 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 17 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 4 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 5 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 5 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stater 1 \$2,122 19 5 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Tinity 1 \$2,250 40 5 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 <t< td=""><td>Merced</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>37</td></t<>	Merced	1			37
Monterey 3 \$19,409 108 108 Napa 3 \$17,732 156 156 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 3 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 3 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 3 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 3 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1	Mono	1		520	478
Napa 3 \$17,732 156 14 Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 5 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 5 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 3 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 5 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 5 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,1 Yuba 1 \$2,610 <	Monterey	3		108	102
Nevada 2 \$4,464 41 Placer 4 \$31,539 177 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,1 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	•			156	147
Placer 4 \$31,539 177 Riverside 3 \$22,100 320 3 San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,1 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104					0
San Benito 1 \$3,509 145 San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Placer	4	\$31,539	177	94
San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Riverside	3	\$22,100	320	316
San Bernardino 1 \$10,000 39 San Diego 4 \$32,656 146 Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	San Benito	1	\$3,509	145	74
Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	San Bernardino	1		39	38
Shasta 1 \$5,700 19 Siskiyou 1 \$20,371 25 Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 1 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	San Diego	4	\$32,656	146	58
Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 14 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104		1		19	0
Sonoma 7 \$67,914 2,112 14 Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Siskiyou	1	\$20,371	25	9
Stanislaus 14 \$146,188 506 4 Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Sonoma	7	\$67,914	2,112	149
Sutter 1 \$2,122 19 Tehama 2 \$44,941 660 5 Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 5 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Stanislaus	14	\$146,188		455
Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 50 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Sutter	1	\$2,122	19	18
Trinity 1 \$2,250 40 Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 55 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Tehama	2	\$44,941	660	534
Tulare 7 \$18,210 960 55 Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,1-1 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 104	Trinity	1	\$2,250	40	0
Tuolumne 1 \$3,276 11 Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,1 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 1		7		960	523
Yolo 6 \$75,817 1,395 1,14 Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 1	Tuolumne	1		11	11
Yuba 1 \$2,610 104 1		6			1,143
	Yuba	1			103
tals for California 123 \$1,008,581 48,352 16,8	tals for California	123	\$1,008,581	48,352	16,889

MS Access Report: EQIP_Cancelled_Contracts_by_County, designed 2/22/2000, Page 7 of 72

Wednesday, November 07, 2001

Contracts by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

	Number of	Cost-S	hares	Farm Ac	res under	Cropland Acres u	nder Contract
<u>Count</u>	Contract	<u>Total</u>	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	<u>Average</u>
Alameda	3	\$57,083	\$19,028	1,816	605	0	0
Amador	5	\$40,015	\$8,003	1,010	202	0	0
Butte	17	\$268,693	\$15,805	9,911	583	7,909	465
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	62	\$689,643	\$11,123	50,344	812	10,584	171
Contra Costa	19	\$130,288	\$6,857	925	49	545	29
El Dorado	12	\$229,416	\$19,118	1,966	164	0	0
Fresno	242	\$2,381,874	\$9,842	199,261	823	28,553	118
Glenn	18	\$416,103	\$23,117	3,890	216	3,474	193
Humboldt	48	\$654,502	\$13,635	99,439	2,072	4,402	92
Imperial	118	\$1,267,539	\$10,742	9,328	79	8,276	70
Kern	67	\$752,419	\$11,230	58,941	880	21,596	322
Kings	135	\$723,329	\$5,358	13,910	103	12,583	93
Lake	16	\$226,661	\$14,166	1,571	98	569	36
Lassen	16	\$129,695	\$8,106	44,405	2,775	8,696	544
Los Angeles	4	\$31,575	\$7,894	9,300	2,325	1,583	396
Madera	76	\$611,537	\$8,047	26,775	352	14,004	184
Marin	36	\$699,825	\$19,440	20,725	576	2,389	66
Mariposa	14	\$317,534	\$22,681	7,103	507	1,696	121
Mendocino	102	\$1,515,065	\$14,854	80,800	792	4,278	42
Merced	124	\$1,403,804	\$11,321	28,221	228	19,987	161
Modoc	3	\$77,765	\$25,922	7,269	2,423	0	0
Mono	10	\$273,837	\$27,384	12,484	1,248	10,500	1,050
Monterey	66	\$1,093,896	\$16,574	76,721	1,162	12,608	191
Napa	73	\$529,834	\$7,258	38,174	523	5,812	80
Nevada	22	\$124,281	\$5,649	6,827	310	0	0
Placer	23	\$224,416	\$9,757	2,126	92	94	4
Plumas	5	\$94,342	\$18,868	6,987	1,397	463	93
Riverside	17	\$199,660	\$11,745	1,669	98	1,562	92
Sacramento	32	\$523,907	\$16,372	5,747	180	4,740	148
San Benito	13	\$97,536	\$7,503	20,828	1,602	1,779	137
San Bernardino	18	\$237,740	\$13,208	1,251	70	1,051	58
San Diego	34	\$520,647	\$15,313	2,601	77	1,951	57
San Joaquin	237	\$1,413,014	\$5,962	39,466	167	36,601	154
San Luis Obispo	45	\$1,218,626	\$27,081	97,682	2,171	33,515	745
San Mateo	5	\$76,757	\$15,351	1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	12	\$389,816	\$32,485	21,859	1,822	1,694	141
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080	\$9,080	3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	21	\$347,449	\$16,545	2,243	107	908	43
Shasta	33	\$234,448	\$7,104	5,535	168	1,043	32
Sierra	7	\$144,181	\$20,597	2,586	369	1,294	185
Siskiyou	28	\$324,416	\$11,586	17,433	623	6,128	219
Solano	9	\$104,950	\$11,661	2,444	272	1,307	145
Sonoma	98	\$1,498,008	\$15,286	25,481	260	5,400	55
Stanislaus	188	\$1,776,134	\$9,448	28,708	153	15,861	84
Sutter	41	\$309,953	\$7,560	4,569	111	4,024	98

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_County, designed 2/25/2000, page 8 of 97

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acı	es under	Cropland Acres under Contract	
Count	Contract	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Tehama	17	\$257,021	\$15,119	13,937	820	2,601	153
Trinity	37	\$237,218	\$6,411	7,986	216	329	9
Tulare	199	\$1,453,750	\$7,305	98,854	497	52,133	262
Tuolumne	11	\$38,495	\$3,500	3,562	324	995	90
Ventura	5	\$91,485	\$18,297	227	45	162	32
Yolo	62	\$851,926	\$13,741	23,693	382	11,845	191
Yuba	32	\$326,057	\$10,189	4,179	131	3,970	124
Totals for California	2,551	\$27,711,858	\$10,863	1,262,572	495	372,556	146

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_County, designed 2/25/2000, page 9 of 97

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

; fundcode number =

	Number of	Cost-S	t-Shares		Farm A	Acres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>		Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Amador	1		\$0	\$0	63	63	(0	
Tuolumne	4		\$0	\$0	760	190	10	3	
Totals for	5		\$0	\$0	823	165	1	0 2	

Back To Contents

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Air Quality General Resource Concern; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm A	Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	\$23,060	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286	\$10,536	12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006		326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135		319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350		109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190		12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214		702	140	·	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757		1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894		16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080		3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173		1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654		2,689	149		42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116		3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754		310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819		152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 61 of 789

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

	_	
Cali	<i>C</i> ~~.	:-
	i () r	nın
Cuu	, ,	

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Air Quality General	768	\$3.781.252	\$4,924	244.638	319	96.796	126

Alameda Creek; fundcode number = 060066

	Number of	Number of Cost-Sh		ares Farm Ac		es Cropland Acres under C		ct
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Alameda	1	\$50,000	\$50,000	850	850	C)	0
Totals for Alameda Creek	1	\$50,000	\$50,000	850	850		0	0

American River/Yuba River/Traverse Crk; fundcode number = 060046

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	<u>Average</u>
El Dorado	9	\$155,167	\$17,241	1,596	177	0	0
Nevada	18	\$120,455	\$6,692	3,371	187	0	0
Placer	18	\$206,421	\$11,468	1,205	67	0	0
Totals for American River/Yuba	45	\$482,043	\$10,712	6,172	137	′ (0

Antelope Valley; fundcode number = 060015

	Number of	Number of Cost-Shares		Farm A	cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	Average	Total	<u>Average</u>	
Los Angeles	2	\$11,575	\$5,788	8,020	4,010	666	333	
Totals for Antelope Valley	2	\$11,575	\$5,788	8,020	4,010	666	333	

Back To Contents

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 62 of 789**Tuesday, November 06, 2001**Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Bay Delta; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm A	Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	\$23,060	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286	\$10,536	12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006		326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135		319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350		109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190		12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214		702	140	·	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757		1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894		16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080		3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173		1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654		2,689	149		42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116		3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754		310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819		152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 63 of 789

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts	by	Fundcode	by	County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	C	•
Cali	tor	ทเล
Cutt	,	

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Bay Delta	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

<u>Butte/Glenn Integrated Orchard Management; fundcode number = 060075</u>

	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Butte	9	\$92,792	\$10,310	538	60	483	54
Glenn	2	\$58,069	\$29,035	316	158	300	150
Totals for Butte/Glenn Integrated	11	\$150,861	\$13,715	854	78	783	71

<u>Calleguas Creek/Mugu Lagoon; fundcode number = 060081</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Ventura	1	\$45,000	\$45,000	120	120	65	65
Totals for Calleguas Creek/Mugu	1	\$45,000	\$45,000	120	120	65	65

<u>Central Frenso County GPA; fundcode number = 060088</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Fresno	11	\$78,836	\$7,167	273	25	244	22
Totals for Central Frenso County	11	\$78,836	\$7,167	273	25	244	22

<u>Clear Creek / Middle Creek; fundcode number = 060021</u>

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		cres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Shasta	13	\$88,794	\$6,830	2,514	193	0	0
Totals for Clear Creek / Middle	13	\$88,794	\$6,830	2,514	193		0

Clear Lake Basin; fundcode number = 060008

	Number of	Cost-Sh	ost-Shares		cres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Lake	16	\$226,661	\$14,166	1,571	98	569	36
Totals for Clear Lake Basin	16	\$226 661	\$14 166	1 571	98	569	36

Colusa Basin Drainage District; fundcode number = 060031

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Colusa	14	\$213,197	\$15,228	20,566	1,469	2,826	202
Glenn	7	\$177,264	\$25,323	2,071	296	1,855	265
Yolo	9	\$174,269	\$19,363	3,223	358	2,314	257
Totals for Colusa Basin Drainage	30	\$564,730	\$18,824	25,860	862	6,995	233

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 64 of 789

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Back To Contents

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Deer/Mill Creek Conservancies; fundcode number = 060020

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Tehama	8	\$134,620	\$16,828	3,943	493	1,194	149
Totals for Deer/Mill Creek	8	\$134,620	\$16,828	3,943	493	1,194	149

E. San Joaquin Valley; fundcode number = 060018

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Merced	28	\$218,778	\$7,814	5,545	198	4,855	173
San Joaquin	86	\$559,759	\$6,509	5,439	63	4,843	56
Stanislaus	85	\$795,145	\$9,355	8,917	105	6,840	80
Totals for E. San Joaquin Valley	199	\$1,573,682	\$7,908	19,901	100	16,538	83

Eastern Fresno and Tulare Rangeland; fundcode number = 060037

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Fresno	8	\$225,029	\$28,129	3,718	465	18	2
Tulare	34	\$579,192	\$17,035	73,958	2,175	30,293	891
Totals for Eastern Fresno and Tula	are 42	\$804,221	\$19,148	77,676	1,849	30,311	722

Eel River; fundcode number = 060009

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	Total	Average
Humboldt	39	\$433,355	\$11,112	97,662	2,504	3,504	90
Mendocino	29	\$446,120	\$15,383	24,771	854	330	11
Trinity	9	\$93,002	\$10,334	4,689	521	0	0
Totals for Eel River	77	\$972,477	\$12,630	127,121	1,651	3,834	50

Elkhorn Slough; fundcode number = 060004

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Monterey	31	\$534,199	\$17,232	4,805	155	3,385	109
Totals for Elkhorn Slough	31	\$534,199	\$17,232	4,805	155	3,385	109

Estrella River/Soda Lake; fundcode number = 060027

	Number of Cost-S		t-Shares Farm Ac		Acres Cropland Acres un		nderContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Monterey	2	\$57,788	\$28,894	14,440	7,220	235	117	
San Luis Obispo	20	\$571,380	\$28,569	77,697	3,885	29,977	1,499	
Totals for Estrella River/Soda Lak	te 22	\$629,168	\$28,599	92,137	4,188	30,211	1,373	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 65 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Fall River; *fundcode number* = 060071

	Number of	Cost-	st-Shares		Farm A	cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Ave	rage	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Shasta	2		\$0	\$0	332	166	280	140	
Totals for Fall River	2		\$0	\$0	332	166	280	140	

Feather River Water and Air; fundcode number = 060035

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Sutter	39	\$280,134	\$7,183	4,417	113	3,873	99	
Yuba	24	\$270,697	\$11,279	2,494	104	2,286	95	
Totals for Feather River Water an	d 63	\$550,831	\$8,743	6,911	110	6,159	98	

Garcia - Navarro; fundcode number = 060012

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total Average		Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Mendocino	25	\$271,808	\$10,872	21,022	841	1,439	58	
Totals for Garcia - Navarro	25	\$271,808	\$10,872	21,022	841	1,439	58	

<u>Goose Lake Coordinated Resource; fundcode number = 060024</u>

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Modoc	1	\$50,000	\$50,000	400	400	0	0	
Totals for Goose Lake Coordinate	ed 1	\$50,000	\$50,000	400	400	1	0 0	

Gooselake Drainage; fundcode number = 060039

	Number of	umber of Cost-Shar		ares Farm Ac		Cropland Acres un	derContract
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	Total	Average
Kern	14	\$211,581	\$15,113	7,052	504	6,288	449
Totals for Gooselake Drainage	14	\$211,581	\$15,113	7,052	504	6,288	449

<u>Hayfork/Southfork; fundcode number = 060029</u>

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total Average		Total	Average	Total	Average	
Trinity	14	\$75,340	\$5,381	1,556	111	128	9	
Totals for Hayfork/Southfork	14	\$75,340	\$5,381	1,556	111	128	. 9	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 66 of 789**Tuesday, November 06, 2001**

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Integrated Orchard Management; fundcode number = 060047

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Butte	6	\$122,975	\$20,496	8,514	1,419	6,625	1,104
Glenn	1	\$19,830	\$19,830	627	627	571	571
Totals for Integrated Orchard	7	\$142,805	\$20,401	9,141	1,306	7,196	1,028

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

<u>Irrigated Agriculture</u>; <u>fundcode number = 069999</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	\$23,060	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286	\$10,536	12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006		326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135		319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350		109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190		12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214		702	140	·	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757		1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894		16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080		3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173		1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654		2,689	149		42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116		3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754		310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819		152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 68 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

ornia
A 1414 1 A
4) V VI I 4 J
o i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Irrigated Agriculture	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

Lower Colorado River Desert Region; fundcode number = 060011

	Number of Cost-S		t-Shares Farm Act		cres	res Cropland Acres un	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Imperial	117	\$1,239,750	\$10,596	9,310	80	8,260	71
Riverside	9	\$96,145	\$10,683	696	77	688	76
Totals for Lower Colorado River	126	\$1,335,895	\$10,602	10,006	79	8,948	71

Lower Susan River; fundcode number = 060072

Number of		Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Averag	<u>e</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Lassen	4		\$0	\$0	25,874	6,469	3,370	843
Totals for Lower Susan River	4		\$0	\$0	25,874	6,469	3,370	843

Mariposa/E. Madera Co. Fuel Reduction; fundcode number = 060006

	Number of	mber of Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Madera	17	\$409,138	\$24,067	14,554	856	2,472	145
Mariposa	14	\$317,534	\$22,681	7,103	507	1,696	121
Totals for Mariposa/E. Madera Co	o. 31	\$726,672	\$23,441	21,657	699	4,168	134

Merced Dairy; fundcode number = 060042

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Merced	22	\$484,405	\$22,018	2,485	113	2,155	98
Totals for Merced Dairy	22.	\$484 405	\$22.018	2.485	113	2.155	98

Mojave River; fundcode number = 060049

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Los Angeles	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	640	640	459	459
San Bernardino	6	\$59,800	\$9,967	233	39	221	37
Totals for Mojave River	7	\$69,800	\$9,971	873	125	680	97

Mojave River Area Water Conservation; fundcode number = 060030

]	Number of Cost-Shar		ares Farm Acres		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Los Angeles	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	640	640	459	459
San Bernardino	6	\$110,000	\$18,333	795	133	655	109
Totals for Moiave River Area Water	er 7	\$120,000	\$17.143	1.435	205	1.113	159

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 69 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Napa River and Putah River; fundcode number = 060017

	Number of	of Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Napa	72	\$514,054	\$7,140	36,894	512	5,812	81
Totals for Napa River and Putah	72	\$514,054	\$7,140	36,894	512	5,812	81

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Natural Resource Concerns; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	,	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613		4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286		12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006	\$8,335	326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778		1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000		6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135	\$4,712	319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725	\$8,681	687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350	\$8,117	109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190	\$1,972	12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214	\$20,043	702	140	212	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757	\$15,351	1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894	\$37,649	16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080	\$9,080	3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173	\$11,130	1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654	\$8,092	2,689	149	763	42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116	\$10,779	3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754	\$20,754	310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819	\$14,910	152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 71 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Back To Contents

Contracts	bv	Fundcode	e bv (County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	C	•
Cali	tor	ทเล
Cutt	,	

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Natural Resource	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

North Coast Plains; fundcode number = 060090

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Humboldt	5	\$144,246	\$28,849	902	180	694	139
Totals for North Coast Plains	5	\$144,246	\$28,849	902	180	694	139

Old Stage Road; fundcode number = 060026

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Monterey	8	\$58,785	\$7,348	8,612	1,076	603	75
Totals for Old Stage Road	8	\$58,785	\$7,348	8,612	1,076	603	75

Palo Verde; fundcode number = 060061

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Riverside	3	\$45,000	\$15,000	246	82	236	79
Totals for Palo Verde	3	\$45,000	\$15,000	246	82	236	79

Pond-Shafter-Wasco; fundcode number = 060038

Number of		Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Kern	27	\$228,160	\$8,450	5,162	191	4,530	168
Totals for Pond-Shafter-Wasco	27	\$228,160	\$8,450	5,162	191	4,530	168

<u>Propect/Cache Slough Watershed; fundcode number = 060091</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	<u>Total</u>	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Solano	8	\$84,196	\$10,525	2,134	267	1,042	130
Totals for Propect/Cache Slough	8	\$84,196	\$10,525	2,134	267	1,042	130

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Range and Forestry Issues in Wildlands; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	\$23,060	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286	\$10,536	12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006		326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135		319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350		109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190		12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214		702	140	·	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757		1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894		16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080		3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173		1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654		2,689	149		42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116		3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754		310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819		152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 73 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	C	•
Cali	tori	ทาก
Cutt	, , , ,	ıııı

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Range and Forestry Issues	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

Russian River; fundcode number = 060019

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Mendocino	45	\$761,359	\$16,919	33,298	740	2,299	51
Sonoma	34	\$441,976	\$12,999	5,850	172	1,170	34
Totals for Russian River	79	\$1,203,335	\$15,232	39,148	496	3,469	44

Sacramento County River; fundcode number = 060040

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	<u>Total</u>	Average	Total	Average
Sacramento	29	\$489,655	\$16,885	5,515	190	4,524	156
San Joaquin	2	\$36,226	\$18,113	516	258	510	255
Totals for Sacramento County Riv	rer 31	\$525,881	\$16,964	6,031	195	5,034	162

Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta; fundcode number = 060041

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Contra Costa	15	\$120,032	\$8,002	606	40	525	35
Sacramento	1	\$18,707	\$18,707	40	40	38	38
San Joaquin	34	\$386,985	\$11,382	11,374	335	10,772	317
Yolo	2	\$31,660	\$15,830	940	470	911	456
Totals for Sacramento-San Joaqui	in 52	\$557 384	\$10.719	12 960	249	12 246	235

Salinas Valley Eastside; fundcode number = 060028

	Number of	imber of Cost-Shares			cres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	Total	Average
Monterey	5	\$141,298	\$28,260	4,343	869	902	180
Totals for Salinas Valley Eastside	5	\$141,298	\$28,260	4,343	869	902	180

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Salmon and Steelhead Habitat; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Sh	ares	Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	<u>Average</u>
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060		111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613		4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286		12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006	\$8,335	326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133	\$4,337	10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793	\$12,929	21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826	\$957	3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995	\$3,599	921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135	\$4,712	319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545	\$7,773	192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350	\$8,117	109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190	\$1,972	12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214	\$20,043	702	140	212	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757	\$15,351	1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894	\$37,649	16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080	\$9,080	3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173	\$11,130	1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654	\$8,092	2,689	149	763	42
Sierra	1	\$20,229	\$20,229	1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116	\$10,779	3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754	\$20,754	310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269	\$10,423	1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416	\$1,966	12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819	\$14,910	152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812	\$2,811	16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495	\$5,499	2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 75 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts	bv	Fundcode	e bv (County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	C	•
Cali	tori	11/1
Cutt	,	eeu

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Salmon and Steelhead	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

San Diego County; fundcode number = 060005

	Number of Cost-Sha		nares Farm Ac		cres	Cropland Acres un	derContract
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	Total	Average
San Diego	34	\$520,647	\$15,313	2,601	77	1,951	57
Totals for San Diego County	34	\$520,647	\$15,313	2,601	77	1,951	57

San Luis Obispo; fundcode number = 060016

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Monterey	2	\$61,233	\$30,617	12,582	6,291	2,209	1,104
San Luis Obispo	6	\$190,616	\$31,769	12,137	2,023	3,100	517
Totals for San Luis Obispo	8	\$251,849	\$31,481	24,719	3,090	5,309	664

Sand / Salt Creek; fundcode number = 060013

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total A	verage	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Butte	1	\$29,866	\$29,866	748	748	722	722
Colusa	40	\$392,160	\$9,804	17,177	429	5,018	125
Totals for Sand / Salt Creek	41	\$422,026	\$10,293	17,925	437	5,739	140

Santa Ana Basin Water Quality; fundcode number = 060045

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Riverside	1	\$23,790	\$23,790	40	40	37	37	
San Bernardino	3	\$43,590	\$14,530	114	38	90	30	
Totals for Santa Ana Basin Water	4	\$67,380	\$16,845	154	39	127	32	

Santa Maria; fundcode number = 060010

	Number of	Number of Cost-Sh		Farm A	cres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Santa Barbara	4	\$84,140	\$21,035	3,308	827	387	97
Totals for Santa Maria	4	\$84,140	\$21,035	3,308	827	387	97

Santa Ynez River; fundcode number = 060079

	Number of Cost-Sha		hares Farm Ac		cres	Cropland Acres un	nderContract
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Santa Barbara	2	\$79,782	\$39,891	1,580	790	46	23
Totals for Santa Ynez River	2	\$79,782	\$39,891	1,580	790	46	23

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 76 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Scott River / Shasta River; fundcode number = 060022

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Siskiyou	24	\$281,300	\$11,721	14,403	600	6,029	251
Totals for Scott River / Shasta Riv	ver 24	\$281.300	\$11.721	14,403	600	6.029	251

Smithneck Creek; fundcode number = 060034

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Plumas	2	\$80,207	\$40,104	6,668	3,334	403	202
Sierra	6	\$123,952	\$20,659	1,386	231	935	156
Totals for Smithneck Creek	8	\$204,159	\$25,520	8,054	1,007	1,338	167

Sonoma / Marin Dairy / Range; fundcode number = 060007

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Marin	36	\$699,825	\$19,440	20,725	576	2,389	66
Modoc	1	\$9,765	\$9,765	500	500	0	0
Sonoma	61	\$1,024,763	\$16,799	18,596	305	4,230	69
Totals for Sonoma / Marin Dairy	98	\$1,734,353	\$17,697	39,821	406	6,619	68

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

South Coast Resource Concerns; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	<u>Average</u>
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060		111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613		4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286		12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006	\$8,335	326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133	\$4,337	10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793	\$12,929	21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826	\$957	3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995	\$3,599	921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135	\$4,712	319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545	\$7,773	192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350	\$8,117	109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190	\$1,972	12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214	\$20,043	702	140	212	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757	\$15,351	1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894	\$37,649	16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080	\$9,080	3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173	\$11,130	1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654	\$8,092	2,689	149	763	42
Sierra	1	\$20,229	\$20,229	1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116	\$10,779	3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754	\$20,754	310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269	\$10,423	1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416	\$1,966	12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819	\$14,910	152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812	\$2,811	16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495	\$5,499	2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 78 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	C	•
Cali	tor	ทเล
Cutt	,	

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for South Coast Resource	768	\$3,781,252	\$4,924	244,638	319	96,796	126

Southfork Trinity/Grass Val. C.; fundcode number = 060023

	Number of	Cost-Sh	Cost-Shares		Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Trinity	2	\$31,560	\$15,780	1,036	518	201	101
Totals for Southfork Trinity/Gras	s 2	\$31,560	\$15,780	1,036	518	201	101

Southfork-Tehachapi Rangeland; fundcode number = 060069

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Kern	5	\$111,088	\$22,218	21,330	4,266	2,981	596
Totals for Southfork-Tehachapi	5	\$111,088	\$22,218	21,330	4,266	2,981	596

Tahoe Basin; fundcode number = 060025

	Number of	Cost-Sh	ares Farm Acr		Acres	Cropland Acres u	s underContract	
County	Contracts	<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
El Dorado	1	\$49,983	\$49,983	137	137	C)	0
Totals for Tahoe Basin	1	\$49,983	\$49,983	137	137		0	0

Tehama County Westside Watersheds; fundcode number = 060074

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Tehama	2	\$46,335	\$23,168	3,677	1,839	607	304
Totals for Tehama County Westsi	de 2	\$46,335	\$23,168	3,677	1,839	607	304

Tulare/Kings County Dairy; fundcode number = 060014

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
Kings	66	\$538,861	\$8,165	7,072	107	6,334	96
Tulare	69	\$604,746	\$8,764	8,304	120	6,883	100
Totals for Tulare/Kings County	135	\$1,143,607	\$8,471	15,376	114	13,217	98

Upper Sacramento Dairy; fundcode number = 060082

	Number of Cost-Shares		ares	Farm A	cres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Glenn	5	\$135,934	\$27,187	550	110	441	88
Tehama	1	\$15,289	\$15,289	38	38	34	34
Totals for Upper Sacramento Dairy	y 6	\$151,223	\$25,204	588	98	475	79

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 79 of 789

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

<u>Villa/Cayucos Creeks; fundcode number = 060043</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	<u>Average</u>	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average
San Luis Obispo	14	\$356,416	\$25,458	7,146	510	227	16
Totals for Villa/Cavucos Creeks	14	\$356.416	\$25,458	7.146	510	227	16

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 80 of 789 Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Water Quality and Quantity; fundcode number = 069999

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm A	Acres	Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Alameda	2	\$7,083	\$3,542	966	483	0	0
Amador	4	\$40,015		947	237	0	0
Butte	1	\$23,060	\$23,060	111	111	80	80
Calaveras	13	\$64,613	\$4,970	4,802	369	776	60
Colusa	8	\$84,286	\$10,536	12,601	1,575	2,740	342
Contra Costa	4	\$10,256	\$2,564	319	80	20	5
El Dorado	2	\$24,266	\$12,133	233	117	0	0
Fresno	180	\$586,646	\$3,259	16,503	92	15,300	85
Glenn	3	\$25,006		326	109	308	103
Humboldt	3	\$26,901	\$8,967	845	282	204	68
Imperial	1	\$27,789	\$27,789	18	18	16	16
Kern	21	\$201,590	\$9,600	25,397	1,209	7,798	371
Kings	69	\$184,468	\$2,673	6,838	99	6,250	91
Lassen	11	\$129,695	\$11,790	18,086	1,644	5,326	484
Madera	59	\$202,399	\$3,430	12,221	207	11,532	195
Mendocino	3	\$35,778	\$11,926	1,710	570	210	70
Merced	39	\$169,133		10,899	279	4,558	117
Modoc	1	\$18,000	\$18,000	6,369	6,369	0	0
Monterey	17	\$219,793		21,483	1,264	4,394	258
Napa	1	\$15,780		1,280	1,280	0	0
Nevada	4	\$3,826		3,456	864	0	0
Placer	5	\$17,995		921	184	94	19
Plumas	3	\$14,135		319	106	60	20
Riverside	4	\$34,725		687	172	600	150
Sacramento	2	\$15,545		192	96	179	89
San Benito	11	\$74,611	\$6,783	12,525	1,139	713	65
San Bernardino	3	\$24,350		109	36	85	28
San Joaquin	65	\$128,190		12,573	193	12,014	185
San Luis Obispo	5	\$100,214		702	140	·	42
San Mateo	5	\$76,757		1,320	264	290	58
Santa Barbara	6	\$225,894		16,971	2,829	1,260	210
Santa Clara	1	\$9,080		3,685	3,685	0	0
Santa Cruz	9	\$100,173		1,572	175	297	33
Shasta	18	\$145,654		2,689	149		42
Sierra	1	\$20,229		1,200	1,200	359	359
Siskiyou	4	\$43,116		3,030	758	98	25
Solano	1	\$20,754		310	310	265	265
Sonoma	3	\$31,269		1,035	345	0	0
Stanislaus	47	\$92,416		12,355	263	2,660	57
Sutter	2	\$29,819		152	76	151	75
Tehama	6	\$60,777		6,279	1,047	766	128
Trinity	12	\$37,316		705	59	0	0
Tulare	96	\$269,812		16,592	173	14,956	156
Tuolumne	7	\$38,495		2,802	400	985	141

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 81 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

~ 1.	c	•
Cali	tori	11.A
Cutt	,	

Ventura	4	\$46,485	\$11,621	107	27	97	24
Yolo	2	\$23,058	\$11,529	396	198	381	190
Totals for Water Quality and	768	\$3.781.252	\$4.924	244.638	319	96,796	126

Watsonville Sloughs; fundcode number = 060044

	Number of Cost-Sha		hares Farm A		Acres	Cropland Acres un	ropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Santa Cruz	12	\$247,276	\$20,606	671	56	611	51	
Totals for Watsonville Sloughs	12	\$247,276	\$20,606	671	56	611	51	

Western Fresno County; fundcode number = 060002

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Fresno	43	\$1,491,363	\$34,683	178,768	4,157	12,990	302
Merced	2	\$70,160	\$35,080	1,373	687	1,270	635
Mono	10	\$273,837	\$27,384	12,484	1,248	10,500	1,050
Monterey	1	\$20,800	\$20,800	10,457	10,457	881	881
San Benito	2	\$22,925	\$11,463	8,303	4,152	1,065	533
Totals for Western Fresno County	58	\$1,879,085	\$32,398	211,385	3,645	26,706	460

Westside Stanislaus; fundcode number = 060001

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average	
Merced	33	\$461,328	\$13,980	7,919	240	7,149	217	
San Joaquin	50	\$301,854	\$6,037	9,564	191	8,462	169	
Stanislaus	56	\$888,573	\$15,867	7,436	133	6,362	114	
Totals for Westside Stanislaus	139	\$1,651,755	\$11,883	24,918	179	21,972	158	

Williow Creek; fundcode number = 060036

	Number of	Cost-Shares			Farm A	Acres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average		Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Lassen	1		\$0	\$0	445	445	0		0
Totals for Williow Creek	1		\$0	\$0	445	445	;)	0

Willow Slough / Cache Creek; fundcode number = 060003

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Yolo	49	\$622,939	\$12,713	19,134	390	8,239	168	
Totals for Willow Slough / Cache	e 49	\$622,939	\$12.713	19,134	390	8.239	168	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Contracts_by_Fundcode_by_County, designed 11/6/2000, page 82 of 789

Tuesday, November 06, 2001

Contracts by Fundcode by County

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Yuba River Watershed GPA; fundcode number = 060093

	Number of Cost-Shares		hares	Farm A	cres	Cropland Acres underContract		
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	<u>Total</u>	Average	
Yuba	8	\$55,36	0 \$6,920	1,685	211	1,684	211	
Totals for Yuba River Watershed	8	\$55,36	0 \$6.920	1.685	211	1.684	211	

<u>Yurok Territory; fundcode number = 060048</u>

	Number of	Cost-Shares		Farm Acres		Cropland Acres underContract	
County	Contracts	Total	Average	Total	Average	Total	Average
Humboldt	1	\$50,000	\$50,000	30	30	0	0
Totals for Yurok Territory	1	\$50,000	\$50,000	30	30	0	0
Totals for California	7,927	\$54,180,622	\$6,835	2,975,041	375	1,050,128	132

Data source: USDA-FSA type01 recordset

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Natural Reso	ource Conce	erns; Fundcod	le Number	= 049999		
in Imperial County							
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining	g - 1	3,750	\$21,375	\$21,375	100.00%		
Structure for Water Control	1	23	\$6,414	\$6,414	100.00%		
Imperial totals for Natural Resource Concerns	2		\$27,789	\$27,789	100.00%		
Totals for Natural Resource Concerns	2		\$27,789	\$27,789	100.00%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:38 PM, Page216 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Carryonia	Practices Planned						
	Number of Units Cost-Shares Cost-Shares						
Practice	<u>Practices</u>	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
·							
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Westside Sta	<u>anislaus; Fu</u>	<u>ındcode Numb</u>	<u>er = 06000</u>	<u>)1</u>		
in Merced County							
Air Management-(ac.)	20	3,714	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	11	409	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	600	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	10	10	\$120,599	\$39,816	33.02%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$7,960	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	10	3,160	\$101,142	\$47,394	46.86%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining	g - 7	20,350	\$34,445	\$23,889	69.35%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur	re, 8	37,700	\$89,681	\$67,451	75.21%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 8	17,105	\$83,450	\$83,450	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforce	ed 1	1,200	\$16,400	\$16,400	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	51	8,339	\$2,787	\$0	0.00%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	104	20,440	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	56	6,411	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$2,500	\$2,500	100.00%		
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$7,500	\$7,500	100.00%		
Merced totals for Westside Stanislaus	290		\$466,464	\$288,400	61.83%		
in San Joaquin County							
Air Management-(ac.)	164	17,140	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Anion Polyacrylamide (PAM) Erosion Control-(ac.)	26	2,499	\$34,819	\$3,424	9.83%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	35	2,236	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	20	1,404	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Improved Water Application-(ac.)	157	17,135	\$12,380	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	10	10	\$83,689	\$74,688	89.24%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$3,850	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	6	6	\$10,600	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining	g - 5	5,100	\$35,356	\$35,356	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur		1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure		85	\$8,330	\$8,330	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	19	31,565	\$86,240	\$26,805	31.08%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	20	1,283	\$1,088	\$0	0.00%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	152	16,943	\$16,638	\$1,088	6.54%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	153	16,972	\$15,270	\$1,088	7.13%		
San Joaquin totals for Westside Stanislaus	770		\$308,260	\$150,779	48.91%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:39 PM, Page217 of 2932

Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Back To Contents

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

9	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Stanislaus County						
Air Management-(ac.)	30	2,637	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	27	2,622	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Controlled Drainage-(ac.)	21	6,857	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	125	5,644	\$4,172	\$1,950	46.74%	
Field Border-(ft.)	2	1,300	\$1,666	\$1,666	100.00%	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	4	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	48	\$3,443	\$3,443	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	24	24	\$544,877	\$256,622	47.10%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	11	11	\$195,874	\$117,669	60.07%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	4	4	\$13,891	. ,	64.62%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	4	4	\$29,170	\$11,303	38.75%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	3	22,790	\$8,417	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	3	4,480	\$15,021	\$6,574	43.77%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	10	23,480	\$58,593	\$28,802	49.16%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	266	19,996	\$30,852	\$23,690	76.79%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	238	13,820	\$5,237	\$1,746	33.34%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	242	17,431	\$14,376	\$4,254	29.59%	
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	101	6,169	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Sediment Basin-(no.)	18	40	\$10,064	\$2,564	25.48%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	8	240	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$17,500	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	76	5,098	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Stanislaus totals for Westside Stanislaus	1,220		\$953,153	\$469,259	49.23%	
Totals for Westside Stanislaus	2,280		\$1,727,877	\$908,438	52.58%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:40 PM, Page218 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cutyorntu	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units		Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	<u>Western Fre</u>	<u>esno County;</u>	Fundcode N	<u>umber = 0</u>	<u>60002</u>		
in Fresno County							
Access Road-(ft.)	15	83,525	\$13,012	\$3,940	30.28%		
Agroforestry Planting-(ac.)	4	502	\$6,620	\$6,620	100.00%		
Air Management-(ac.)	32	5,104	\$46,792	\$4,528	9.68%		
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	50	\$4,390	\$4,390	100.00%		
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	1	2,640	\$450	\$0	0.00%		
Conservation Cover-(ac.)	2	´ 9	\$20,000	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	7	1,128	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	2,640	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	49	379,627	\$311,600	\$172,022	55.21%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	2	92	\$700	\$700	100.00%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Heavy Use Area Protection-(ac.)	2	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	35	35	\$177,241	\$177,241	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	8	8	\$12,119	\$12,119	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	6	6	\$29,856	\$19,518	65.37%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur		28,960	\$59,693	\$29,048	48.66%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure		18,700	\$14,280	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	6	11,962	\$36,625	\$5,320	14.53%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	97	53,108	\$86,166	\$76,166	88.39%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	27	228,685	\$131,864	\$43,932	33.32%		
Pond-(no.)	3	13	\$14,500	\$10,000	68.97%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	45,354	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	8	66,608	\$22,272	\$4,200	18.86%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	60	\$2,160	\$2,160	100.00%		
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (ac.)	1	2,640	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%		
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	41	22,546	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Soil Salinity Management-Nonirrigated-(ac.)	6	1,533	\$3,834	\$3,834	100.00%		
Spring Development-(no.)	3	5	\$1,710	\$960	56.14%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	6	5,540	\$35,567	\$12,392	34.84%		
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	31	194,564	\$324,923	\$85,170	26.21%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	53	\$6,484	\$0	0.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	3,161	\$300	\$300	100.00%		
Water Well-(no.)	2	2	\$8,741	\$7,166	81.98%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	34	147	\$81,996	\$28,531	34.80%		
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	4	14	\$1,286	\$136	10.58%		
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Fresno totals for Western Fresno County	461	_	\$1,458,256	\$710,393	48.72%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:41 PM, Page219 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Merced County						
Agroforestry Planting-(ac.)	1	16	\$6,764	\$0	0.00%	
Air Management-(ac.)	10	6,852	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	5	1,236	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	73	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	5	1,227	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	2	2	\$34,575	\$34,575	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	3	6,100	\$28,821	\$28,821	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	9	5,727	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	5	1,227	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	1,227	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	5	1,227	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	1	240	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Merced totals for Western Fresno County	52		\$70,160	\$63,396	90.36%	
in Monterey County						
Fence-(ft.)	2	21,120	\$11,597	\$11,597	100.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	10,560	\$2,000	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	5	\$6,450	\$0	0.00%	
Monterey totals for Western Fresno County	5		\$20,047	\$11,597	57.85%	
in San Benito County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	160	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	6,600	\$6,150	\$3,576	58.15%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	5,600	\$4,440	\$1,365	30.74%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$675	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$750	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	5	\$3,125	\$375	12.00%	
San Benito totals for Western Fresno County	12		\$22,640	\$5,316	23.48%	
Totals for Western Fresno County	530		\$1,571,103	\$790,702	50.33%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:43 PM, Page220 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijoiniu		Pra	ectices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Willow Slou	gh / Cache	Creek; Fund	code Numb	er = 060003
in Yolo County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	42	\$3,15	50 \$0	0.00%
Conservation Cover-(ac.)	11	54	\$16,05		3.74%
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	5	47		50 \$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	15	651	\$24,75		45.58%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	25	25	\$15,91		19.86%
Dam, Diversion-(no.)	1	1	\$3,75		0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	25	67,116	\$83,44		14.94%
Field Border-(ft.)	7	4,553	\$3,11		22.06%
Filter Strip-(ac.)	4	7	\$4,11		0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	5	81	\$10,25		14.63%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	1,485	\$2,57		56.82%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	66	57,538	\$62,42		19.30%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	18	63	\$40,15		90.97%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$2,08		0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		5,501	\$13,17		67.60%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure		4,436	\$15,78		53.35%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	., 11	288	\$39		0.00%
Land Grading-(ac.)	4	3,879	\$4,94		20.09%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	7	183	\$1,86		40.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	82	1,518	\$37,92		17.96%
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	10,389	\$7,19		37.41%
Pond-(no.)	23	16,359	\$110,65		35.78%
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	5	420		50 \$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	30	24,246	\$16,10		0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	3	3	\$4,72		0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	7	1,612	\$24,34		29.86%
Residue Management, No-till & Strip Till-(ac.)	1	55		80 \$0	0.00%
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	1	\$11		0.00%
Sediment Basin-(no.)	7	1,966	\$3,16		26.33%
Spring Development-(no.)	3	1,500	\$2,79		46.35%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	12	17,737	\$42,84		8.78%
Structure for Water Control	16	20	\$14,72		31.12%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	21	45	\$39,12		26.32%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	24	3,614	\$4,22		1.89%
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	1	50	\$25		0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	14	420	\$15,04		28.52%
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	3	9	\$17,25	·	88.41%
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	11	44	\$97		2.05%
_ , , ,		77			
Yolo totals for Willow Slough / Cache Creek Totals for Willow Slough / Cache Creek	486 486		\$649,41 \$649,41		30.12% 30.12%
Totals for Willow Slough / Cache Creek	486		\$049,41	185,581	30.12%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:44 PM, Page221 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

<i>j</i>	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Elkhorn Slou	igh; Fundc	ode Number =	= 060004			
in Monterey County							
Access Road-(ft.)	4	8,172	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%		
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	20	416	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Contour Farming-(ac.)	3	54	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	20	197	\$4,653	\$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	40	50	\$13,629	\$2,622	19.24%		
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	2	55	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Diversion-(ft.)	6	2,640	\$9,335	\$0	0.00%		
Field Border-(ft.)	1	700	\$1,087	\$0	0.00%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	4	1	\$1,787	\$0	0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	7	27	\$11,200		3.35%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	13	502	\$16,164	\$2,004	12.40%		
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	265	\$447	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	* -	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur	re, 1	3,495	\$3,250	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	12	228	\$0		0.00%		
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	7	163	\$2,460	\$0	0.00%		
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	5	5	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	88	2,851	\$9,761	\$2,915	29.86%		
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	150	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	8	5,150	\$34,929	\$6,050	17.32%		
Structure for Water Control	63	130	\$30,234	\$4,017	13.29%		
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	1	100	\$250	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	4	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	106	73,708	\$329,996	\$116,026	35.16%		
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	49	3,713	\$155,597	\$17,160	11.03%		
Monterey totals for Elkhorn Slough	467		\$633,779	\$151,169	23.85%		
Totals for Elkhorn Slough	467		\$633,779	\$151,169	23.85%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:45 PM, Page222 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	San Diego C	ounty; Fun	dcode Numbe	r = 060005			
in San Diego County							
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	7	\$513	\$513	100.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	2	16,400	\$24,600	\$22,000	89.43%		
Irrigation Regulating Reservoir-(no.)	2	2	\$34,772	\$34,772	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	7	7,001	\$13,182	\$10,437	79.18%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	8	32,234	\$39,013	\$6,093	15.62%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	8	61,630	\$227,875	\$66,250	29.07%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	13	1,636	\$13,474	\$8,041	59.68%		
Mulching-(ac.)	20	877	\$115,400	\$74,320	64.40%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	16	395	\$1,753	\$770	43.92%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	14	340	\$8,880	\$3,030	34.12%		
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$1,875	\$1,875	100.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	575	\$992	\$0	0.00%		
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	6	11,490	\$38,157	\$26,532	69.53%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	5	\$3,763	\$2,962	78.71%		
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	1	1,320	\$695	\$695	100.00%		
San Diego totals for San Diego County	103		\$524,944	\$258,290	49.20%		
Totals for San Diego County	103		\$524,944	\$258,290	49.20%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:46 PM, Page223 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

			tices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Mariposa/E.	Madera Co.	. Fuel Reducti	ion; Fund	code Number =
<u>060006</u>					
in Madera County					
Access Road-(ft.)	2	800	\$4,050	\$0	0.00%
Brush Management-(ac.)	70	2,869	\$306,252	\$160,456	52.39%
Fence-(ft.)	14	51,120	\$59,747	\$21,268	35.60%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	1	2	\$195	\$195	100.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	2	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	3	52	\$2,221	\$563	25.35%
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	90	\$4,975	\$4,975	100.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	19,510	\$17,255	\$10,903	63.19%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	5	20	\$5,341	\$1,726	32.32%
Spring Development-(no.)	4	5	\$5,213	\$1,875	35.97%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	3	76	\$4,869	\$711	14.60%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$3,000	\$3,000	100.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	12	\$3,367	\$2,242	66.59%
Madera totals for Mariposa/E. Madera Co. Fuel Reduc	tion 119		\$419,860	\$207,914	49.52%
<u>in Mariposa County</u>					
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	2	6	\$5,175	\$4,500	86.96%
Brush Management-(ac.)	45	2,075	\$194,906	\$91,159	46.77%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	11	21,416	\$35,378	\$3,960	11.19%
Forage Harvest Management-(ac.)	1	41	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	5	176	\$14,664	\$3,864	26.35%
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	5	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Pond Sealing or Lining-Asphalt - Sealed Fabric	1	1	\$12,090	\$12,090	100.00%
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$13,500	\$13,500	100.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	21	10,372	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	5	271	\$24,944	\$9,629	38.60%
Spring Development-(no.)	6	8	\$4,075	\$3,375	82.82%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2,500	\$1,125	\$1,125	100.00%
Mariposa totals for Mariposa/E. Madera Co. Fuel	105		\$315,857	\$143,202	45.34%
Totals for Mariposa/E. Madera Co. Fuel	224		\$735,717	\$351,116	47.72%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:47 PM, Page224 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Sonoma / Ma	arin Dairy /	Range; Fund	dcode Nun	ber = 060007	
in Marin County					_	
Access Road-(ft.)	2	151	\$11,200	\$0	0.00%	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	2	2	\$7,390		0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	9	8	\$5,400		0.00%	
Diversion-(ft.)	5	1,800	\$9,505	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	48	100,606	\$137,099	\$37,686	27.49%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	7	19	\$20,517	\$0	0.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	8	978	\$13,379	\$193	1.44%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Aluminum	1	1,200	\$2,360	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur	re, 18	29,189	\$90,143	\$56,757	62.96%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Land Grading-(ac.)	1	1	\$5,565	\$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	9	598	\$27,877	\$18,598	66.71%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	76	18,657	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	8	341	\$22,267	\$2,160	9.70%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	14	16,760	\$29,505	\$0	0.00%	
Pond Sealing or Lining - Bentonite Sealant-(no.)	1	1	\$2,800	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$27,440	\$27,440	100.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	130	39,667	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	6	6	\$26,228	\$12,962	49.42%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	2	2	\$2,016	\$678	33.63%	
Spring Development-(no.)	10	211	\$12,851	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	9	9,917	\$33,892	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	2	2	\$7,811	\$6,857	87.79%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	6	17	\$15,120	\$0	0.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	7	1,555	\$25,305	\$20,215	79.89%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	29	\$175	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Management System-(no.)	2	2	\$45,500	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	15	9,981	\$95,762	\$30,586	31.94%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	21	8,119	\$11,860	\$0	0.00%	
Marin totals for Sonoma / Marin Dairy / Range	425		\$688,967	\$214,132	31.08%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:49 PM, Page225 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuifornia	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
in Sonoma County			· 				
Access Road-(ft.)	9	12,959	\$86,031	\$3,896	4.53%		
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	5	5,817	\$25,050	\$5,890	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	14	288	\$1,560	\$0 \$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	7	41	\$1,500 \$19,418	\$0 \$0	0.00%		
Diversion-(ft.)	11	7,236	\$28,729	\$20,175	70.23%		
Fence-(ft.)	30	88,719	\$123,659	\$14,536	11.75%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	1	\$70	\$14,550	0.00%		
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	5	360	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	4	5	\$18,838	\$6,010	31.90%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	8	3,600	\$31,808	\$24,908	78.31%		
Hillside Bench-(ac.)	3	4	\$19,933	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	12	\$8,795	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	13	26,197	\$54,488	\$22,554	41.39%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	5	52	\$5,091	\$0	0.00%		
Land Grading-(ac.)	2	400	\$1,375	\$0	0.00%		
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	25	3,229	\$105,549	\$43,466	41.18%		
Manure Transfer-(no.)	3	3	\$16,350	\$2,275	13.91%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	151	33,921	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	8	334	\$37,731	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	15	14,211	\$21,734	\$3,523	16.21%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	233	48,392	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$2,660	\$0	0.00%		
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	8	1,012	\$14,985	\$8,509	56.78%		
Sediment Basin-(no.)	3	3	\$9,050	\$1,000	11.05%		
Spring Development-(no.)	8	13	\$9,850	\$975	9.90%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	15	34,100	\$90,266	\$6,825	7.56%		
Structure for Water Control	14	165	\$11,884	\$3,010	25.33%		
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	3	770	\$2,647	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	13	\$13,650	\$0	0.00%		
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	5	1,270	\$9,063	\$2,704	29.84%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	200	\$2,100	\$0	0.00%		
Waste Management System-(no.)	8	8	\$82,967	\$23,303	28.09%		
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	23	3,047	\$163,397	\$58,481	35.79%		
Waste Treatment Lagoon-(no.)	1	1	\$2,100	\$0	0.00%		
Water Well-(no.)	2	2	\$6,168	\$2,593	42.04%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	18	32	\$14,819	\$4,757	32.10%		
Sonoma totals for Sonoma / Marin Dairy / Range	669		\$1,041,815	\$253,500	24.33%		
Totals for Sonoma / Marin Dairy / Range	1,094		\$1,730,782	\$467,632	27.02%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:51 PM, Page226 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

<i>g</i> -1	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Clear Lake	Basin; Fun	dcode Numbei	= 060008			
in Lake County							
Access Road-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$1,430	\$0	0.00%		
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	12	\$2,113	\$0	0.00%		
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	2	1	\$162	\$162	100.00%		
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	1	230	\$3,000	\$3,000	100.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	25	487	\$6,500	\$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	5	18	\$2,924	\$2,924	100.00%		
Diversion-(ft.)	1	1,300	\$4,500	\$4,500	100.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	6	\$4,225		0.00%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	8	1,667	\$16,973	\$6,531	38.48%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	6	33	\$11,595	\$7,577	65.35%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	13	121	\$52,585	\$25,200	47.92%		
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	3	19	\$12,437	\$9,424	75.77%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	30	338	\$527	\$400	75.90%		
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	4	460	\$3,575	\$1,125	31.47%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	20	\$0		0.00%		
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$3,900	\$0	0.00%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	5	\$1,950	\$0	0.00%		
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	10	214	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%		
Sediment Basin-(no.)	1	5	\$1,950		0.00%		
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$3,495	\$3,495	100.00%		
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	1	200	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	16	2,686	\$73,629	\$50,604	68.73%		
Structure for Water Control	3	152	\$13,213	\$0	0.00%		
Terrace-(ft.)	2	6,600	\$940	\$940	100.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	13	124	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Lake totals for Clear Lake Basin	152		\$223,123	\$115,882	51.94%		
Totals for Clear Lake Basin	152		\$223,123	\$115,882	51.94%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:52 PM, Page227 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: I		Fundcode Ni	 umber = 06000	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
•	201 101 / 01 / 1	unacoacit		<u> </u>			
in Humboldt County	1.5	0.012	¢41.700	Φ1 C 272	20.020/		
Access Road-(ft.)	15	9,912	\$41,700		39.02%		
Barnyard Runoff Management-(no.)	4	272	\$0		0.00%		
Brush Management-(ac.)	191	19,558	\$0		0.00%		
Composting Facility-(no.)	1	8,448	\$550		100.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	12	322	\$2,084		66.46%		
Dike-(ft.)	3	600	\$384		48.96%		
Fence-(ft.)	14	47,810	\$22,937		24.19%		
Forest Land Erosion Control System-(no.)	4	1,734	\$8,734		72.59%		
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	11	1,880	\$0		0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	3	\$4,435		100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		53,305	\$74,465		54.48%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	100	\$0		0.00%		
Land Grading-(ac.)	1	0	\$225	\$0	0.00%		
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	2	90	\$1,553	\$878	56.54%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	21	2,763	\$945	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	11	9,752	\$3,510	\$483	13.76%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	18	58,450	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	19	158	\$64,924	\$24,733	38.10%		
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	15	4,090	\$33,695	\$15,825	46.97%		
Spoil Spreading-(ft.)	5	1,967	\$1,511	\$840	55.59%		
Spring Development-(no.)	10	36	\$13,899	\$3,681	26.48%		
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	250	\$3,225		100.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	3	808	\$17,888		93.71%		
Structure for Water Control	5	5	\$9,906		7.25%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	0	\$45	\$0	0.00%		
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	21	31	\$0	* *	0.00%		
Waste Management System-(no.)	7	7	\$19,666		19.45%		
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	20	22,084	\$91,351	\$69,947	76.57%		
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	51	4,192	\$0		0.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	12	49	\$9,982		19.26%		
Humboldt totals for Eel River	516	17	\$427,614	-	51.01%		
Tumouut wais in Eci Kivei	310		\$427,014	\$210,132	31.0170		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:56 PM, Page228 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Mendocino County						
Access Road-(ft.)	22	70,450	\$56,077	\$15,864	28.29%	
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	8	9	\$180	\$0	0.00%	
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	2	300	\$3,187	\$3,187	100.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	5	6	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	37	82,735	\$107,146	\$38,896	36.30%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	10	35	\$18,395	\$1,759	9.56%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	26	23,487	\$20,359	\$11,683	57.38%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$180	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	23	7,553	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%	
Restoration And Management of Declining Habitats-(ac.) 1	103	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	18	22	\$14,796	\$6,826	46.13%	
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	1	60	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	21	4,850	\$125,069	\$34,912	27.91%	
Structure for Water Control	38	130	\$70,858	\$33,186	46.83%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	11	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	1,975	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$1,665	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	29	45	\$12,032	\$3,861	32.09%	
Mendocino totals for Eel River	249		\$432,069	\$150,174	34.76%	
in Trinity County						
Access Road-(ft.)	46	26,314	\$46,883	\$39,383	84.00%	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	1	200	\$450	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	20	\$750	\$750	100.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	4	11	\$3,075	\$1,950	63.41%	
Diversion-(ft.)	1	1,074	\$1,611	\$1,611	100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	8,000	\$13,032	\$2,157	16.55%	
Forest Land Erosion Control System-(no.)	3	3,630	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	2	20	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	3	5	\$5,626	\$3,938	70.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	5	35	\$2,175	\$984	45.24%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	10	1,072	\$8,869	\$3,787	42.70%	
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$375	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	4	\$679	\$0	0.00%	
Trinity totals for Eel River	85		\$91,400	\$54,560	59.69%	
Totals for Eel River	850		\$951,083	\$422,866	44.46%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:57 PM, Page229 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Santa Maria;	Fundcode	Number = 06	0010			
in Santa Barbara County							
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	2,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Dam, Diversion-(no.)	2	49	\$8,670	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	1	2,640	\$1,478	\$1,478	100.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	5	5	\$41,250	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1,050	\$3,067	\$3,067	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 3	4,450	\$15,750	\$15,750	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	2	200	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Land Grading-(ac.)	4	12	\$3,900	\$0	0.00%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	3	1,800	\$3,516	\$3,516	100.00%		
Santa Barbara totals for Santa Maria	23		\$77,631	\$23,811	30.67%		
Totals for Santa Maria	23		\$77,631	\$23,811	30.67%		

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: I	Lower Color	rado River	Desert Region;	Fundcode	Number =	
<u>060011</u>						
in Imperial County						
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	51	9,177	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	28	2,514	\$33,987	\$7,170	21.10%	
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	87	16,602	\$65,390	\$31,347	47.94%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	42	4,450	\$132,884	\$71,972	54.16%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	6	615	\$57,288	\$25,288	44.14%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	5	41	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	7	7	\$38,752	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining -	- 27	63,540	\$262,678	\$164,371	62.58%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	2	121	\$22,000	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	149	23,364	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	146	23,067	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	129	20,390	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Sediment Basin-(no.)	16	347	\$9,446	\$3,957	41.89%	
Structure for Water Control	10	1,054	\$5,379	\$1,754	32.61%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	83	1,495,993	\$605,715	\$370,455	61.16%	
Toxic Salt Reduction-(ac.)	31	5,569	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	87	1,077	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	45	6,767	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	22	415	\$300	\$0	0.00%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	15	20,263	\$11,307	\$2,381	21.06%	
Imperial totals for Lower Colorado River Desert Region	n 988		\$1,245,126	\$678,695	54.51%	
in Riverside County						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	38	1,099	\$55,750	\$20,000	35.87%	
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	7	113	\$5,500	\$1,800	32.73%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	2	24	\$1,585	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	2	\$27,517	\$27,517	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	5	15	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Soil Salinity Management-Nonirrigated-(ac.)	1	10	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	12	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	2	2,376	\$2,310	\$0	0.00%	
Riverside totals for Lower Colorado River Desert Region	on 61		\$92,662	\$49,317	53.22%	
Totals for Lower Colorado River Desert Region	1,049		\$1,337,788	\$728,012	54.42%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:45:59 PM, Page231 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Back To Contents

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Garcia - Nav	varro; Fun	dcode Number	r = 060012			
in Mendocino County							
Access Road-(ft.)	15	61,222	\$18,659	\$9,619	51.55%		
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	6	6	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	1	500	\$135	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	2	50	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	10	110	\$1,410	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	9	23,225	\$8,502	\$1,069	12.57%		
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	8	606	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	7	223	\$9,993	\$2,878	28.80%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	3	\$3,750	\$3,750	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	20	\$9,750	\$9,750	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	11	212	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	40	\$2,600	\$2,600	100.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	8,500	\$1,710	\$1,365	79.82%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	26	5,668	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$1,620	\$0	0.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	34	5,035	\$168,166	\$65,155	38.74%		
Structure for Water Control	50	910	\$50,462	\$29,733	58.92%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	2	\$370	\$0	0.00%		
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	225	\$1,463	\$0	0.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	1,290	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$1,515	\$1,515	100.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	2,608	\$2,968	\$1,560	52.56%		
Mendocino totals for Garcia - Navarro	200		\$283,073	\$128,994	45.57%		
Totals for Garcia - Navarro	200		\$283,073	\$128,994	45.57%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:00 PM, Page232 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cutyorniu	Practices Planned							
	Number of	Units		Cost-Shares				
Practice	<u>Practices</u>	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned			
					70Earneu			
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: S	and / Salt C	<u> Preek; Fun</u>	<u>dcode Number</u>	= 060013				
in Butte County								
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	722	\$23,059	\$0	0.00%			
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	4	\$90	\$0	0.00%			
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	2	\$117	\$0	0.00%			
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	12	\$6,600	\$0	0.00%			
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	722	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	722	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Butte totals for Sand / Salt Creek	6		\$29,866	\$0	0.00%			
in Colusa County								
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1	\$3,041	\$0	0.00%			
Cover Crop-(ac.)	17	1,063	\$54,205	\$31,059	57.30%			
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	9	6,305	\$17,067	\$0	0.00%			
Fence-(ft.)	5	18,200	\$19,276	\$0	0.00%			
Filter Strip-(ac.)	4	4	\$3,295	\$0	0.00%			
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	3	68	\$10,810	\$6,400	59.20%			
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	6	112	\$10,089	\$5,825	57.74%			
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	4	10,400	\$5,520	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	20	814	\$167,990	\$106,549	63.43%			
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,330	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$1,250	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Aluminum	1	2,500	\$1,000	\$1,000	100.00%			
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	1	8	\$3,041	\$3,041	100.00%			
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	5,230	\$19,613	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	12	72	\$1,062	\$0	0.00%			
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	2	\$630	\$0	0.00%			
Pond-(no.)	3	19,601	\$14,850	\$0	0.00%			
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	4	57	\$24,480	\$0	0.00%			
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$1,250	\$0	0.00%			
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	45	\$11,222	\$0	0.00%			
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	3	90	\$2,500	\$2,500	100.00%			
Structure for Water Control	1	3	\$750	\$0	0.00%			
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%			
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	7	26	\$3,800	\$2,400	63.16%			
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$650	\$0	0.00%			
Colusa totals for Sand / Salt Creek	109		\$382,096	\$158,774	41.55%			
Totals for Sand / Salt Creek	115		\$411,962	\$158,774	38.54%			

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:01 PM, Page233 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

,	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-	Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	<u>App</u>	roved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Tulare/Kings	County Da	airy;	Fundcod	e Number	= 060014	
in Kings County							
Access Road-(ft.)	8	9,247		\$9,064	\$6,498	71.69%	
Air Management-(ac.)	230	29,705		\$1,000	\$0	0.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	207	27,265		\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	14	324		\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	12	418		\$32,831	\$29,170	88.85%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	5	24		\$24,007	\$15,498	64.56%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	6	6		\$268	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	21	21		\$52,730	\$14,519	27.53%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 64	62,776		\$251,265	\$94,846	37.75%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforce	d 2	2,560		\$12,711	\$12,711	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	230	30,113		\$7,779	\$3,846	49.44%	
Land Grading-(ac.)	1	4		\$3,173	\$3,173	100.00%	
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	8	255		\$9,546	\$5,511	57.73%	
Manure Transfer-(no.)	1	1		\$2,552	\$2,552	100.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	305	37,291		\$11,068	\$2,558	23.11%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	98	8,397		\$17,064	\$5,222	30.60%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	9,880		\$29,746	\$29,746	100.00%	
Precision Land Forming-(ac.)	1	1		\$335	\$335	100.00%	
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	12	610		\$14,232	\$9,192	64.59%	
Toxic Salt Reduction-(ac.)	16	507		\$10,250	\$4,130	40.29%	
Waste Management System-(no.)	6	6		\$13,737	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	4	4		\$18,878	\$18,878	100.00%	
Waste Treatment Lagoon-(no.)	1	1		\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	3	76		\$1,510	\$1,510	100.00%	
Kings totals for Tulare/Kings County Dairy	1,260			\$523,746	\$259,895	49.62%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:06 PM, Page234 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
in Tulare County							
Access Road-(ft.)	23	27,855	\$15,494	\$11,169	72.09%		
Air Management-(ac.)	105	307,944	\$2,580	\$0	0.00%		
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	25	2,465	\$2,000	\$2,000	100.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	6	232	\$2,371	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	5	435	\$2,977	\$2,977	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	11	8,970	\$50,002	\$47,686	95.37%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	5	69	\$13,372	\$11,936	89.26%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	7	21,300	\$31,239	\$29,986	95.99%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	66	275,805	\$448,062	\$240,787	53.74%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	167	18,210	\$11,023	\$5,333	48.38%		
Land Grading-(ac.)	1	10	\$13,200	\$13,200	100.00%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	201	22,961	\$14,740	\$9,489	64.38%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	2	1,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	96	10,212	\$28,713	\$6,051	21.07%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	2,500	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$660	\$0	0.00%		
Waste Treatment Lagoon-(no.)	10	12	\$31,731	\$24,881	78.41%		
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	15	2,428	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%		
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	2	502	\$1,881	\$0	0.00%		
Tulare totals for Tulare/Kings County Dairy	754		\$671,545	\$405,495	60.38%		
Totals for Tulare/Kings County Dairy	2,014		\$1,195,291	\$665,390	55.67%		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: A	ntelope Va	lley; Fundc	ode Number =	= 06001 <u>5</u>			
in Los Angeles County							
Cross Wind Stripcropping-(ac.)	1	652	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Firebreak-(ft.)	5	46,200	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	2	3,380	\$2,144	\$2,144	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	10	45	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	10	3,329	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	2	10,080	\$9,431	\$570	6.04%		
Los Angeles totals for Antelope Valley	31		\$11,575	\$2,714	23.45%		
Totals for Antelope Valley	31		\$11,575	\$2,714	23.45%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:07 PM, Page235 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuttyornia	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	San Luis Ob	ispo; Fund	code Number	<u>= 060016</u>			
in Monterey County							
Access Road-(ft.)	4	5,263	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	2	800	\$4,800	\$2,573	53.60%		
Fence-(ft.)	9	51,150	\$13,455	\$5,535	41.14%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	1,000	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	6,300	\$2,544	\$0	0.00%		
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	3	3,392	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	5	422	\$18,984	\$18,219	95.97%		
Spring Development-(no.)	4	5	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	4	1,336	\$5,788	\$5,788	100.00%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	3	2	\$360	\$0	0.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	9	10	\$6,300	\$0	0.00%		
Monterey totals for San Luis Obispo	51		\$61,231	\$32,115	52.45%		
in San Luis Obispo County							
Fence-(ft.)	18	119,133	\$98,226	\$78,078	79.49%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$12,000	\$0	0.00%		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	3	3,000	\$9,000	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	8	71,780	\$34,454	\$6,576	19.09%		
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$5,000	\$0	0.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	16,017	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	50	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Spring Development-(no.)	2	3	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	2	\$450	\$0	0.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	24	\$5,760	\$0	0.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	13	25	\$12,000	\$3,300	27.50%		
San Luis Obispo totals for San Luis Obispo	56		\$178,690	\$87,954	49.22%		
Totals for San Luis Obispo	107		\$239,921	\$120,069	50.05%		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:08 PM, Page236 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Napa River	and Putah	River; Funde	ode Number	= 060017	
in Napa County						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	89	1,222	\$109,241	\$24,332	22.27%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	11	31	\$12,496		3.51%	
Diversion-(ft.)	1	1,240	\$2,976		100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	20	81,064	\$46,621		7.09%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	7	43	\$40,890	\$10,790	26.39%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	7	707	\$16,949		27.61%	
Hillside Bench-(ac.)	1	0	\$263	\$263	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	20	12,706	\$9,479	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 2	5,260	\$3,150	\$2,151	68.29%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	329	10,169	\$0		0.00%	
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	1	\$750	\$750	100.00%	
Mulching-(ac.)	18	101	\$14,438	\$3,230	22.37%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	251	5,832	\$15	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	91	3,204	\$8,965	\$3,721	41.51%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	10	20,810	\$12,621	\$1,095	8.68%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	64	33,590	\$0		0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	13	\$683	\$0	0.00%	
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	1	7,141	\$1,250	\$1,250	100.00%	
Sediment Basin-(no.)	3	3	\$4,951	\$4,421	89.30%	
Spoil Spreading-(ft.)	1	1	\$350	\$350	100.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	3	3	\$1,325	\$0	0.00%	
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	345	\$3,623	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	31	9,806	\$114,961	\$42,457	36.93%	
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$327	\$327	100.00%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	3	1,410	\$11,114	\$4,256	38.29%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	40	60	\$53,627	\$9,521	17.75%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	16	8,275	\$59,391		47.24%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	15	1,795	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	12	51	\$26,742	\$350	1.31%	
Napa totals for Napa River and Putah River	1,050		\$557,198	\$148,722	26.69%	
Totals for Napa River and Putah River	1,050		\$557,198	\$148,722	26.69%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:09 PM, Page237 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units		Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: E	. San Joaqı	in Vallev;	Fundcode Nur	nber = 060	018	
in Merced County						
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	20	1,476	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	6	46	\$1,105	\$769	69.59%	
Field Border-(ft.)	1	13,000	\$356	\$356	100.00%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	2	90	\$10,127	\$1,127	11.13%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	5	5	\$41,144	\$15,949	38.76%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	8	15	\$49,732	\$13,949	42.65%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	1	13	\$0	\$21,213	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	3	3	\$20,945	\$13,439	64.16%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	4	22,824	\$34,746	\$34,746	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	6	13,584	\$40,325	\$34,740	76.89%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	31	2,848	\$40,323	\$31,003	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	63	5,842	\$3,408	\$3,028	88.85%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	41	3,350	\$3,408	\$2,672	78.40%	
Sediment Basin-(no.)	5	3,330	\$4,800	\$2,072	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control		10	\$6,300	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	10	935	\$0,300 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
` '		933		* -		
Merced totals for E. San Joaquin Valley	213		\$216,396	\$124,304	57.44%	
in San Joaquin County						
Air Management-(ac.)	295	12,189	\$1,644	\$0	0.00%	
Controlled Drainage-(ac.)	15	1,209	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	168	6,946	\$16,588	\$11,742	70.79%	
Improved Water Application-(ac.)	205	9,857	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	18	945	\$12,608	\$10,302	81.71%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	17	20	\$92,274	\$60,774	65.86%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	18	41	\$70,442	\$44,915	63.76%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	5	1,949	\$28,891	\$28,891	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining -	1	800	\$4,805	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	4	1,942	\$12,025	\$9,525	79.21%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	19	37,816	\$90,892	\$72,836	80.13%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	143	4,389	\$33,240	\$6,858	20.63%	
Manure Transfer-(no.)	3	3	\$47,306	\$25,306	53.49%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	347	13,544	\$5,898	\$3,006	50.97%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	348	13,403	\$4,302	\$2,474	57.51%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	21	22	\$21,163	\$7,763	36.68%	
Waste Management System-(no.)	35	37	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	28	30	\$139,762	\$38,473	27.53%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	1	1,980	\$2,500	\$0	0.00%	
San Joaquin totals for E. San Joaquin Valley	1,692	,	\$584,340	\$322,865	55.25%	

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Stanislaus County					
Air Management-(ac.)	5	754	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	98	9,844	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Controlled Drainage-(ac.)	1	6	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	115	2,910	\$3,262	\$356	10.91%
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	5	754	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	1	660	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	4	84	\$10,138	\$10,138	100.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	22	68	\$147,286	\$70,890	48.13%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	5	6	\$29,626	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	14	14	\$76,253	\$76,253	100.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	37	51	\$165,093	\$68,857	41.71%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	14	33,273	\$93,637	\$18,568	19.83%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	15	25,680	\$107,223	\$42,630	39.76%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforced	2	2,740	\$23,840	\$15,840	66.44%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	419	35,657	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Manure Transfer-(no.)	61	5,680	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	410	35,153	\$5,388	\$1,404	26.06%
Pest Management-(ac.)	179	6,041	\$7,284	\$1,404	19.28%
Pond Sealing or Lining - Bentonite Sealant-(no.)	2	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	58	2,419	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	24	1,022	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	18	18	\$30,062	\$7,956	26.47%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	20	21	\$93,265	\$58,265	62.47%
Waste Treatment Lagoon-(no.)	2	2	\$14,516	\$14,516	100.00%
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	251	29,293	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Stanislaus totals for E. San Joaquin Valley	1,783		\$811,373	\$387,077	47.71%
Totals for E. San Joaquin Valley	3,688		\$1,612,109	\$834,246	51.75%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:12 PM, Page239 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Canjornia	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Russian Riv	er; Fundco	de Number = (<u> </u>		
in Mendocino County						
Access Road-(ft.)	46	73,326	\$46,768	\$30,813	65.88%	
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	26	3,688	\$24,300	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	13	316	\$17,875	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	12	45	\$12,732	\$5,922	46.51%	
Fence-(ft.)	34	46,162	\$41,996	\$11,048	26.31%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	3	6	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	9	531	\$14,246	\$2,716	19.07%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	4,700	\$2,600	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	21	255	\$86,661	\$37,060	42.76%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	2	8	\$14,950	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	2	2	\$6,832	\$2,737	40.06%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure	e, 6	4,590	\$36,181	\$750	2.07%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	, 1	950	\$5,527	\$5,527	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	59	1,664	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	50	\$368	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	10	6,950	\$5,297	\$563	10.63%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	21	26,630	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	13	13	\$14,521	\$718	4.94%	
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	29	1,629	\$88,641	\$46,846	52.85%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	45	11,781	\$249,732	\$104,912	42.01%	
Structure for Water Control	72	1,926	\$77,106	\$43,878	56.91%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	17	5,816	\$7,082	\$938	13.24%	
Mendocino totals for Russian River	444		\$753,415	\$294,428	39.08%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:13 PM, Page240 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Sonoma County						
Access Road-(ft.)	12	69,605	\$12,954	\$8,007	61.81%	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	2	62	\$4,875		66.67%	
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	11	22	\$10,832		57.18%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	28	915	\$5,506		70.25%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	7	8	\$2,233		48.77%	
Diversion-(ft.)	4	1,950	\$5,900	\$3,250	55.08%	
Fence-(ft.)	13	33,387	\$42,433		69.16%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	4	\$400	\$400	100.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	1,102	\$11,296	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	2	\$13,886	\$8,795	63.34%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	3	3,888	\$16,732	\$7,480	44.70%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Steel-(ft.)	1	400	\$1,638	\$1,638	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	31	962	\$325	\$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	4	567	\$12,794	\$3,608	28.20%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	20	655	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	8	18	\$12,212	\$5,348	43.79%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	4	2,700	\$7,182	\$3,900	54.30%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	34	1,071	\$0		0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	10	225	\$18,037	\$0	0.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	5	203	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$2,925	\$0	0.00%	
Sediment Basin-(no.)	1	15	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	8	8	\$12,774	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	8	2,050	\$57,200	\$975	1.70%	
Structure for Water Control	15	368	\$30,508	\$26,930	88.27%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	1	490	\$2,255	\$2,255	100.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	3	\$594	\$594	100.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	20	4,763	\$72,732	\$33,786	46.45%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	5	101	\$66,170	\$66,170	100.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	4	4	\$1,755		0.00%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	1	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Sonoma totals for Russian River	267		\$428,398	\$216,885	50.63%	
Totals for Russian River	711		\$1,181,813	\$511,313	43.27%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:15 PM, Page241 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

·		Pra	ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: 1	Deer/Mill Ci	reek Conser	vancies; Fund	lcode Num	ber = 060020
in Tehama County					_
Fence-(ft.)	9	18,100	\$17,309	\$13,064	75.48%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	1	\$45	\$45	100.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	5	109	\$38,747	\$3,112	8.03%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	3	3	\$23,501	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	, 5	2,371	\$14,780	\$2,235	15.12%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	4	189	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	74	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	9	\$743	\$743	100.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	74	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	476	\$711	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$20,868	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	10	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$300	\$300	100.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$338	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$1,126	\$0	0.00%
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	171	\$4,500	\$4,500	100.00%
Tehama totals for Deer/Mill Creek Conservancies	41		\$122,968	\$23,999	19.52%
Totals for Deer/Mill Creek Conservancies	41		\$122,968	\$23,999	19.52%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: 0	Clear Creek	/ Middle Ci	reek; Fundcod	le Number	r = 060021
in Shasta County					
Access Road-(ft.)	8	960	\$6,205	\$4,259	68.64%
Brush Management-(ac.)	26	123	\$43,154	\$14,103	32.68%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	5	4	\$1,614	\$676	41.88%
Fence-(ft.)	4	4,774	\$3,743	\$3,555	94.98%
Firebreak-(ft.)	3	670	\$1,782	\$1,222	68.57%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	14	20	\$4,261	\$1,448	33.98%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$554	\$554	100.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	3	36	\$1,800	\$1,200	66.67%
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$250	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	4	12	\$1,518	\$280	18.45%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	62	244	\$12,263	\$4,688	38.23%
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$500	\$500	100.00%
Shasta totals for Clear Creek / Middle Creek	132	2	\$77,644	\$32,485	41.84%
	_				
Totals for Clear Creek / Middle Creek	132		\$77,644	\$32,485	41.84%

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Carryonna	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Practice	Practices			Earned	%Earned		
		<u>Approved</u>	<u>Approved</u>				
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Scott River	<u>/ Shasta Riv</u>	<u>er; Fundcode</u>	Number =	<u> 060022</u>		
in Siskiyou County							
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	9	28,336	\$23,358	\$10,548	45.16%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	23	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	18	38,110	\$40,764	\$5,642	13.84%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	5	\$3,574	\$3,574	100.00%		
Irrigation Field Ditch-(ft.)	1	5,280	\$3,960	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	4	59	\$12,825	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	1,250	\$240	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	2	3	\$1,445	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	3	3	\$637	\$637	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur	e, 5	9,465	\$25,616	\$13,217	51.60%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure		18,972	\$70,063	\$23,508	33.55%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	33	4,637	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	63	\$3,150	\$3,150	100.00%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	10	299	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pasture & Hayland Mgt(ac.)	9	363	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	5	120	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	60	1,110	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	4	4,500	\$3,491	\$896	25.67%		
Pond-(no.)	4	4	\$6,445	\$1,570	24.36%		
Precision Land Forming-(ac.)	1	7	\$3,287	\$3,287	100.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	36	3,054	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	2	2	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	5	\$938	\$0	0.00%		
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	14	30	\$9,930	\$5,080	51.16%		
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$1,125	\$1,125	100.00%		
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	1	4	\$8,250	\$0	0.00%		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	6	3,450	\$26,144	\$7,413	28.35%		
Structure for Water Control	4	103	\$1,874	\$749	39.97%		
Surface Drainage, Field Ditch-(ft.)	1	2,600	\$1,300	\$1,300	100.00%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	3	\$1,950	\$0	0.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	23	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$3,336	\$3,336	100.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	10	25	\$9,361	\$2,561	27.36%		
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	34	163	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Siskiyou totals for Scott River / Shasta River	308		\$270,563	\$87,593	32.37%		
Totals for Scott River / Shasta River	308		\$270,563	\$87,593	32.37%		
Totals for Scott River / Shasta River	300		\$470,303	\$61,393	34.3170		

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Southfork T	rinity/Grass	Val. C.; Fun	dcode Nur	nber = 060023	
in Trinity County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	3	30	\$6,270	\$6,270	100.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	5	50	\$10,884	\$9,084	83.46%	
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	10	\$1,024	\$1,024	100.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	1,448	\$8,685	\$8,685	100.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	10	\$445	\$445	100.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	12	\$3,600	\$3,600	100.00%	
Trinity totals for Southfork Trinity/Grass Val. C.	18		\$30,908	\$29,108	94.18%	
Totals for Southfork Trinity/Grass Val. C.	18		\$30,908	\$29,108	94.18%	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Goose Lake	Coordinated	d Resource: F	undcode N	Number = 060024	
in Modoc County			,			
Brush Management-(ac.)	3	461	\$18,509	\$10,004	54.05%	
Firebreak-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$10,509	\$10,004	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	2	270	\$0 \$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	200	\$750	\$750	100.00%	
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	1	40	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$4,250	\$4,250	100.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	22	\$1,815	\$0	0.00%	
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	1	\$3,750	\$3,750	100.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	2	236	\$7,800	\$7,800	100.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$900	\$900	100.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	66	\$10,395	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$1,665	\$1,665	100.00%	
Modoc totals for Goose Lake Coordinated Resource	16		\$49,834	\$29,119	58.43%	
Totals for Goose Lake Coordinated Resource	16		\$49,834	\$29,119	58.43%	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Tahoe Basin	; Fundcode	Number = 06	0025		
in El Dorado County						
Fence-(ft.)	2	11,835	\$33,286	\$33,286	100.00%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	11,055	\$672	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	620	\$1,541	\$1,541	100.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	6	1,872	\$6,084	\$4,056	66.67%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	150	\$6,900	\$6,900	100.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$1,500	\$1,500	100.00%	
El Dorado totals for Tahoe Basin	13		\$49,983	\$47,283	94.60%	
Totals for Tahoe Basin	13		\$49,983	\$47,283	94.60%	
i otais ioi Tailot Dasiii	13		ψτ/,703	Φ+1,203	77.00/0	

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

J	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Old Stage Roa	d; Funde	ode Number =	<u>= 060026</u>	
in Monterey County					
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	14	1,649	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	7	338	\$9,196	\$4,606	50.09%
Fence-(ft.)	3	9,000	\$9,112	\$0	0.00%
Filter Strip-(ac.)	2	6	\$1,126	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	2	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	13	1,384	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	4	412	\$7,746	\$3,865	49.90%
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	3,000	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	19	31,918	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	3	102	\$3,805	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	11	25,665	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	3	7	\$15,125	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	6	\$5,250	\$0	0.00%
Monterey totals for Old Stage Road	89		\$58,785	\$8,471	14.41%
Totals for Old Stage Road	89		\$58,785	\$8,471	14.41%

MS Access Report: EQIP Practice Earnings by FC Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:21 PM, Page245 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Pract	tices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Estrella Rive	er/Soda Lake	e; Fundcode l	Number =	060027
in Monterey County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	500	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	7	40,910	\$48,552	\$9,228	19.01%
Pipeline-(ft.)	7	17,824	\$8,556	\$144	1.68%
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$10,000	\$5,000	50.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	12	24,420	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	84	\$3,780	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	3	5	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	2	550	\$6,810	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	4	8	\$2,362	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	9	15	\$10,800	\$480	4.44%
Monterey totals for Estrella River/Soda Lake	48		\$96,860	\$14,852	15.33%
in San Luis Obispo County					
Access Road-(ft.)	4	15,854	\$13,380	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	28	555	\$12,396	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	36	171,893	\$144,195	\$18,350	12.73%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	8	10	\$29,600	\$0	0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	100	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	100	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	52	392,060	\$165,066	\$49,539	30.01%
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$3,748	\$3,748	100.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	58	150,970	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	3	150	\$6,900	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	10	10	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	6	3,500	\$48,600	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	22	33	\$12,870	\$0	0.00%
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	50	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	4	\$960	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	88	42,574	\$105,248	\$21,300	20.24%
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	7	11	\$14,640	\$3,840	26.23%
San Luis Obispo totals for Estrella River/Soda Lake	330		\$567,803	\$96,777	17.04%
Totals for Estrella River/Soda Lake	378		\$664,663	\$111,629	16.79%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:24 PM, Page246 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cunjornia	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Salinas Valle	ey Eastside;	Fundcode Nu	umber = 06	0028	
in Monterey County						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	13	735	\$33,633	\$17,233	51.24%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$4,089	\$0	0.00%	
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	1	176	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	7,930	\$8,029	\$0	0.00%	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	8	8	\$7,940	\$2,540	31.99%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	1	\$8,500	\$0	0.00%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	2,000	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	44	\$3,250	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur	re, 2	2,650	\$10,689	\$6,540	61.18%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	9	246	\$2,501	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	6	115	\$2,251	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	92	\$2,413	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	3,640	\$2,184	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	960	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	71	\$14,140	\$0	0.00%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%	
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	7	879	\$8,539	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	6	27	\$6,825	\$225	3.30%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	4	3,458	\$5,221	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	2	\$914	\$0	0.00%	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	8	12	\$6,370	\$1,125	17.66%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	3	\$2,625	\$0	0.00%	
Monterey totals for Salinas Valley Eastside	87		\$139,488	\$27,663	19.83%	
Totals for Salinas Valley Eastside	87		\$139,488	\$27,663	19.83%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:25 PM, Page247 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

			ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: I	Hayfork/Sou	ithfork; Fu	ndcode Numb	er = 06002	<u>9</u>
in Trinity County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	21	287	\$18,000	\$13,950	77.50%
Fence-(ft.)	10	13,240	\$3,483	\$2,146	61.61%
Firebreak-(ft.)	23	192	\$36,811	\$13,744	37.34%
Forest Site Preparation-(ac.)	1	10	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	6	42	\$3,090	\$900	29.13%
Range Planting-(ac.)	5	78	\$4,050	\$1,500	37.04%
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	1	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	1	\$2,250	\$2,250	100.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	8	20	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	9	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Trinity totals for Hayfork/Southfork	82		\$73,684	\$34,490	46.81%
Totals for Hayfork/Southfork	82		\$73,684	\$34,490	46.81%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: M	Mojave Rive	er Area Wat	ter Conservation	on; Fundo	ode Number
060030					
in Los Angeles County					
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	120	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Los Angeles totals for Mojave River Area Water	2		\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
in San Bernardino County					
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	32	1,870	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	11	58	\$110,000	\$30,000	27.27%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure	, 2	1,260	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	34	2,120	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	12	794	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	12	794	\$0	\$0	0.00%
San Bernardino totals for Mojave River Area Water	103		\$110,000	\$30,000	27.27%
Totals for Mojave River Area Water	105		\$120,000	\$30,000	25.00%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:26 PM, Page248 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Carryonia	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: (Colusa Basin	Drainage 1	District; Fund	lcode Num	ber = 060031
in Colusa County					
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1	\$900	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	30	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,215	\$0	0.00%
Dike-(ft.)	1	20	\$3,075	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	5	34,145	\$28,027	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$13,918	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Field Ditch-(ft.)	1	2,310	\$1,733	\$1,733	100.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$5,444	\$5,444	100.00%
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	2	2	\$24,260	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	2	2	\$28,006	\$8,936	31.91%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure	, 1	1,990	\$9,865	\$9,865	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	10	1,559	\$15,000	\$2,812	18.75%
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	195	\$6,250	\$6,250	100.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	6	720	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	240	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	1,760	\$1,654	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	3	3	\$17,188	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$4,000	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	7	430	\$25,200	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	58	\$4,503	\$0	0.00%
Structure for Water Control	3	194	\$9,823	\$8,848	90.07%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	3	\$5,975	\$0	0.00%
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	1	400	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Colusa totals for Colusa Basin Drainage District	55		\$209,336	\$43,888	20.97%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:27 PM, Page249 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

cyo	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Glenn County					
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	3	3	\$870	\$0	0.00%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	0	\$45	\$0	0.00%
Dike-(ft.)	1	300	\$704	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	3	6,400	\$2,651	\$1,901	71.71%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$2,625		100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,		1,500	\$2,655	\$2,655	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	9	9,870	\$72,858	\$38,163	52.38%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	60	4,823	\$4,393	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	57	4,589	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	7	2,152	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	2,700	\$2,835	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$2,453	\$2,453	100.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	1,337	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	9	9	\$33,477	\$22,663	67.70%
Range Planting-(ac.)	3	266	\$19,950	\$5,550	27.82%
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	2	2	\$4,500	\$3,750	83.33%
Sediment Basin-(no.)	3	3	\$1,558	\$458	29.40%
Structure for Water Control	5	5	\$1,388	\$788	56.77%
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$5,250	\$5,250	100.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	3	3	\$9,340	\$4,006	42.89%
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	3	\$3,975	\$0	0.00%
Glenn totals for Colusa Basin Drainage District	180		\$171,527	\$90,262	52.62%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:27 PM, Page 250 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Yolo County					
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Conservation Cover-(ac.)	1	1	\$300	\$300	100.00%
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	1	706	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	3	83	\$6,289	\$225	3.58%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	6	22	\$6,000	\$150	2.50%
Fence-(ft.)	4	12,600	\$23,626	\$0	0.00%
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	9	4,230	\$8,521	\$712	8.36%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	4	52	\$22,785	\$22,785	100.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	7	15,292	\$44,570	\$10,000	22.44%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	5	3,531	\$0	* -	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	5	3,531	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	12	3,767	\$2,700	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	300	\$416	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	3	9,041	\$23,913	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	3,500	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	4	4	\$14,446		35.11%
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	2	9	\$4,782	\$0	0.00%
Sediment Basin-(no.)	2	2	\$1,088	\$544	50.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	5	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	16	3,176	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$375	\$0	0.00%
Yolo totals for Colusa Basin Drainage District	95		\$173,186	\$49,788	28.75%
Totals for Colusa Basin Drainage District	330		\$554,049	\$183,938	33.20%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:28 PM, Page251 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuttyornia	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Smithneck (Creek; Fund	dcode Number	r = 060034		
in Plumas County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	400	\$600	\$0	0.00%	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	1	400	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	655	\$14,873	\$3,154	21.21%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	2	\$3,750	\$0	0.00%	
Dike-(ft.)	1	750	\$2,813	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	6	27,600	\$29,580	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	1,600	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	8,000	\$7,800	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$3,450	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,313	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$2,888	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$2,194	\$0	0.00%	
Plumas totals for Smithneck Creek	20		\$75,411	\$3,154	4.18%	
<u>in Sierra County</u>						
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	3	9	\$10,125	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$150	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	6	21,344	\$26,067	\$10,017	38.43%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	3	10	\$41,250	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Field Ditch-(ft.)	1	400	\$150	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	9	9,645	\$25,152	\$7,808	31.04%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	84	\$6,300	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	315	\$4,725	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	3	\$2,220	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	11	\$4,764	\$825	17.32%	
Sierra totals for Smithneck Creek	33		\$122,028	\$18,650	15.28%	
Totals for Smithneck Creek	53		\$197,439	\$21,804	11.04%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:29 PM, Page 252 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

-	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Feather Rive	r Water and	Air; Fund	code Numbe	r = 060035	
in Sutter County						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	64	3,567	\$4,72	1 \$0	0.00%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	300	\$49	2 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	23	65	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	18	93	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure	e, 21	81,579	\$109,66	1 \$47,207	43.05%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 25	34,170	\$124,89	5 \$57,621	46.14%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	85	4,531	\$4,74	3 \$299	6.30%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	83	4,709	\$5,33	7 \$0	0.00%	
Pasture & Hayland Mgt(ac.)	10	1,531	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	94	5,258	\$29,38	3 \$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	4	5	\$90		0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	25	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Sutter totals for Feather River Water and Air	434		\$280,13	4 \$105,127	37.53%	
<u>in Yuba County</u>						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	56	2,587	\$2,10		0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	9	9	,	0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	2	2		0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	8	8	•	0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		177,676	\$162,08	9 \$68,268	42.12%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 8	14,500	\$69,08	1 \$30,215	43.74%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	75	4,290	\$5,01	2 \$200	3.99%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	61	4,791	\$4,96	3 \$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	68	5,375	\$28,92		0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	6	30	\$	0 \$0	0.00%	
Yuba totals for Feather River Water and Air	319		\$272,18	2 \$98,683	36.26%	
Totals for Feather River Water and Air	753		\$552,31	6 \$203,810	36.90%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:30 PM, Page253 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Eastern Free	sno and Tul	are Rangeland	Fundcoo	de Number =	
060037						
in Fresno County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	100	\$3,547	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	17	549	\$50,820	\$4,050	7.97%	
Fence-(ft.)	22	116,711	\$93,391	\$2,613	2.80%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	400	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	2	60	\$516	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	10	21,453	\$11,842	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$3,300	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	2	1,282	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	11	390	\$14,520	\$248	1.71%	
Spring Development-(no.)	2	4	\$3,750	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	3	6,000	\$25,000	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	1,501	\$1,463	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	3	3	\$4,261	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	11	27	\$9,321	\$0	0.00%	
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$673	\$0	0.00%	
Fresno totals for Eastern Fresno and Tulare Rangeland	d 90		\$225,029	\$6,911	3.07%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:31 PM, Page254 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

y	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Tulare County					
Access Road-(ft.)	5	532	\$12,520	\$0	0.00%
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	1	5,200	\$800	\$800	100.00%
Brush Management-(ac.)	25	35,245	\$74,123	\$7,142	9.64%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	4	38	\$938	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	44	185,284	\$101,533	\$51,306	50.53%
Firebreak-(ft.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	4	4	\$21,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	3	3,810	\$20,788	\$18,665	89.79%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	35	7,680	\$33,506	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	89	20,450	\$197,654	\$48,878	24.73%
Pipeline-(ft.)	11	25,000	\$20,319	\$6,449	31.74%
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	2	595	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	110	94,200	\$552	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	6	7	\$28,041	\$14,481	51.64%
Range Planting-(ac.)	6	6,956	\$0	* *	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	20	25	\$29,153	\$7,620	26.14%
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	4	11,160	\$441	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	2,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$750	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	2	\$4,132	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	32	11,789	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	1	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	21	81	\$42,576	\$10,006	23.50%
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Tulare totals for Eastern Fresno and Tulare Rangeland	429		\$591,826	\$165,347	27.94%
Totals for Eastern Fresno and Tulare Rangeland	519		\$816,855	\$172,258	21.09%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:32 PM, Page255 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Pond-Shafter	r-Wasco:	Fundcode Num	ber = 0600	38
in Kern County		,			
Air Management-(ac.)	110	13,067	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	32	\$250	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	7	1,664	\$41,518	\$7,918	19.07%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	17	17	\$120,359	\$78,789	65.46%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	7	7	\$46,450	\$39,950	86.01%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Aluminum	1	2,600	\$5,200	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressur		2,600	\$9,500	\$9,500	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 5	7,250	\$23,641	\$7,750	32.78%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	133	12,360	\$6,000	\$6,000	100.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	130	14,071	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	117	14,162	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pond Sealing or Lining - Flexible Membrane-(no.)	1	1	\$3,225	\$0	0.00%
Kern totals for Pond-Shafter-Wasco	532		\$266,143	\$149,907	56.33%
Totals for Pond-Shafter-Wasco	532		\$266,143	\$149,907	56.33%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Gooselake D	rainage; l	Fundcode Numl	oer = 06003	<u> 39</u>
in Kern County					
Air Management-(ac.)	40	8,663	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	24	1,745	\$170,365	\$68,788	40.38%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	3	3	\$20,033	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Aluminum	1	4,780	\$5,600	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 5	7,050	\$28,241	\$10,347	36.64%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	73	12,628	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	68	12,383	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	404	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	47	5,962	\$5,385	\$1,775	32.96%
Kern totals for Gooselake Drainage	267		\$239,624	\$80,910	33.77%
Totals for Gooselake Drainage	267		\$239,624	\$80,910	33.77%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:33 PM, Page256 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Sacramento	County River	r; Fundcode	Number =	060040		
in Sacramento County							
Agrochemical Containment Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$1,859	\$1,859	100.00%		
Controlled Drainage-(ac.)	3	86	\$12,739	\$0	0.00%		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	3	77	\$1,170	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	6	35,637	\$33,637	\$23,674	70.38%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$9,498	\$9,498	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	3	3	\$31,866	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	5	5	\$39,697	\$19,615	49.41%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressur	e, 16	24,406	\$75,386	\$48,474	64.30%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	97	6,351	\$21,129	\$5,016	23.74%		
Manure Transfer-(no.)	8	9,511	\$78,154	\$37,121	47.50%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	71	6,244	\$56,174	\$12,616	22.46%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	5	220	\$14,831	\$3,866	26.07%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	24	1,096	\$13,749	\$1,080	7.86%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	4	7,098	\$3,655	\$3,208	87.77%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	19	2,532	\$7,353	\$1,689	22.97%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	2	8	\$1,871	\$0	0.00%		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	14	\$40	\$0	0.00%		
Vegetative Buffer Strips-(ac.)	1	0	\$225	\$0	0.00%		
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	7	13,781	\$59,052	\$18,406	31.17%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	4	16	\$3,438	\$2,718	79.06%		
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	2	12	\$8,917	\$8,917	100.00%		
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	8	45	\$213	\$30	14.08%		
Sacramento totals for Sacramento County River	294		\$474,653	\$197,787	41.67%		
<u>in San Joaquin County</u>							
Cover Crop-(ac.)	5	710	\$3,195	\$3,195	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	5	710	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressur		1,800	\$5,470	\$5,470	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	6	539	\$3,240	\$852	26.30%		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	6	539	\$8,862	\$2,954	33.33%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	38	\$2,679	\$2,679	100.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	426	\$12,780	\$4,260	33.33%		
San Joaquin totals for Sacramento County River	27		\$36,226	\$19,410	53.58%		
Totals for Sacramento County River	321		\$510,879	\$217,197	42.51%		
Totals for Sacramento County Miver	321		\$310,079	\$411,191	42.31/0		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:35 PM, Page257 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu		Prac	tices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: S					· ·
	aci aiiiciito-	Saii Juayuii	i Deita, Func	icoue muin	<u>DCI — 000041</u>
in Contra Costa County	_			**	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	5	57	\$2,563	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	6	6	\$29,273	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	3	12	\$6,397	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	3	11	\$6,202	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	13	18,514	\$55,478	\$46,668	84.12%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	49	1,157	\$20,119		0.00%
Contra Costa totals for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	79		\$120,032	\$46,668	38.88%
in Sacramento County					
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	38	\$7,500	\$7,500	100.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	38	\$3,903	\$3,903	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	38	\$7,304	\$7,304	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	5	190	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Sacramento totals for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	8		\$18,707	\$18,707	100.00%
<u>in San Joaquin County</u>					
Air Management-(ac.)	150	16,777	\$6,572	\$0	0.00%
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	30	4,379	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Improved Water Application-(ac.)	105	10,975	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	14	1,263	\$75,483	\$63,250	83.79%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$15,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	4	49	\$33,500	\$5,000	14.93%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$14,290	\$14,290	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining -	5	10,038	\$54,397	\$17,678	32.50%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	1	1,500	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	3	6,560	\$68,000	\$5,000	7.35%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	9	22,850	\$29,225	\$8,100	27.72%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	42	5,287	\$48,640	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	149	14,833	\$22,524	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	129	12,849	\$9,354	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
San Joaquin totals for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	645		\$386,985	\$113,318	29.28%
in Yolo County					
Cover Crop-(ac.)	2	105	\$3,570	\$1,695	47.48%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	50	\$3,075	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	3	4,000	\$24,272	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	3	1,014	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	1	\$375	\$0	0.00%
Yolo totals for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	11		\$31,292	\$1,695	5.42%
Totals for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	743		\$557,016	\$180,388	32.38%

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

J	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: M	Aerced Dair	y; Fundco	de Number = 0	<u>60042</u>	
in Merced County					
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	17	1,700	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	4	4	\$36,395	\$17,229	47.34%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	3	4,150	\$37,771	\$16,740	44.32%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	17	26,435	\$116,054	\$32,602	28.09%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforced	2	5,330	\$65,554	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	57	5,578	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	8	964	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	2	2	\$5,000	\$5,000	100.00%
Structure for Water Control	2	5	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	11	18,305	\$223,630	\$124,376	55.62%
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	45	8,624	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Merced totals for Merced Dairy	169		\$484,404	\$195,947	40.45%
Totals for Merced Dairy	169		\$484,404	\$195,947	40.45%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: V	/illa/Cayuco	s Creeks;	Fundcode Num	ber = 060	043
in San Luis Obispo County					
Access Road-(ft.)	2	8,000	\$2,040	\$0	0.00%
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	2	\$675	\$0	0.00%
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	1	1,850	\$8,325	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	8	28	\$810	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	43	148,261	\$156,720	\$15,979	10.20%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	6	8	\$30,138	\$0	0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	200	\$300	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	4	170	\$1,740	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	26	44,100	\$22,675	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	3	4	\$20,000	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	23	4,914	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	10	590	\$10,200	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	5	900	\$11,630	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	14	77	\$28,650	\$0	0.00%
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	800	\$9,000	\$0	0.00%
Underground Outlet-(ft.) Watering Facility-(no.)	1 48	800 77	\$9,000 \$52,200	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%
			. ,	* -	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:39 PM, Page259 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	<u> </u>				11	
•	vv atson vine	Sibugits, T	unucoue Muni	<u> </u>	11	
in Santa Cruz County						
Access Road-(ft.)	5	2,450	\$15,750		0.00%	
Conservation Cover-(ac.)	1	5	\$563		0.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	13	129	\$0	* -	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	14	130	\$4,589	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	21	27	\$2,940	\$0	0.00%	
Diversion-(ft.)	5	1,450	\$4,643	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	1	250	\$337	\$0	0.00%	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	20	44	\$5,536	\$0	0.00%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	6	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	5	501	\$5,783		2.91%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	14	5,340	\$8,829		0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	3	\$0		0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		3,000	\$9,000	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	30	1,338	\$275		0.00%	
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	2	1,050	\$6,100		0.00%	
Mulching-(ac.)	1	2	\$375		0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	14	131	\$275	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	14	131	\$275		0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	4	10	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	12	126	\$0		0.00%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	6	6	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	18	365	\$837		22.34%	
Structure for Water Control	35	45	\$14,115	\$0	0.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	30	19,035	\$115,704		0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	2	\$845		0.00%	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	20	26	\$44,891	\$2,171	4.84%	
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	1	0	\$188		0.00%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	3	300	\$2,250		0.00%	
Santa Cruz totals for Watsonville Sloughs	300		\$246,350		1.03%	
Totals for Watsonville Sloughs	300		\$246,350	\$2,526	1.03%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:44 PM, Page 260 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Pra	ectices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Santa Ana E	Basin Water	Quality; Fun	dcode Nun	aber = 060045
in Riverside County					
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	12	\$5,371	\$5,371	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	15	\$1,140	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	12	\$2,034	\$2,034	100.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$13,829	\$13,829	100.00%
Riverside totals for Santa Ana Basin Water Quality	5		\$22,374	\$21,234	94.90%
in San Bernardino County					
Dike-(ft.)	2	6,545	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	3	3	\$17,500	\$3,750	21.43%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	e, 3	2,880	\$13,390	\$6,240	46.60%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	6	60	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	6	120	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Precision Land Forming-(ac.)	1	10	\$2,700	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	3	43	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
San Bernardino totals for Santa Ana Basin Water Qual	ity 25		\$43,590	\$9,990	22.92%
Totals for Santa Ana Basin Water Quality	30		\$65,964	\$31,224	47.33%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:45 PM, Page261 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	American R	iver/ Y uba i	Kiver/ i raverse	Crk; Fui	<u> 1acoae Number = </u>
in El Dorado County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	50	860	\$66,698	\$300	0.45%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	6	154	\$27,975	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	11	201	\$32,565	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	15	228	\$30,719	\$0	0.00%
El Dorado totals for American River/Yuba River/Trave	erse 82		\$157,957	\$300	0.19%
in Nevada County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	73	979	\$61,087	\$26,092	42.71%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	3	9	\$1,008	\$126	12.50%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	29	173	\$13,516	\$2,048	15.15%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	4	12	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Mulching-(ac.)	1	7	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	31	1,124	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	2	6	\$510	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	9	763	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	1,000	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	1	40	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	25	1,099	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	13	430	\$14,940	\$9,501	63.59%
Spring Development-(no.)	7	8	\$2,700	\$600	22.22%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	6	29	\$3,640	\$585	16.07%
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	15	70	\$8,820	\$1,170	13.27%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	27	146	\$2,810	\$1,625	57.83%
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$300	\$0	0.00%
Nevada totals for American River/Yuba River/Travers	e 250		\$112,731	\$41,747	37.03%
in Placer County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	103	2,730	\$122,585	\$48,464	39.54%
Fence-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$1,400	\$0	0.00%
Firebreak-(ft.)	6	15,463	\$23,751	\$12,746	53.67%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	8	38	\$7,410	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	300	\$100	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	5	\$313	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$292	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	13	104	\$18,381	\$1,116	6.07%
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	26	159	\$28,307	\$4,403	15.55%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$192	\$0	0.00%
Placer totals for American River/Yuba River/Traverse	Crk 161		\$202,731	\$66,729	32.92%
Totals for American River/Yuba River/Traverse	493		\$473,419	\$108,776	22.98%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:46 PM, Page262 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Pra	ctices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares					
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned				
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Integrated C	rchard Ma	nagement; Fu	ndcode Nu	mber = 060047				
in Butte County									
Air Management-(ac.)	18	1,360	\$23,991	\$0	0.00%				
Cover Crop-(ac.)	3	25	\$2,536	\$0	0.00%				
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	2	3,330	\$1,621	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	18	1,360	\$7,101	\$2,367	33.33%				
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	18	1,360	\$6,798	\$2,266	33.33%				
Pest Management-(ac.)	18	1,338	\$80,298	\$26,766	33.33%				
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	14	\$630	\$0	0.00%				
Butte totals for Integrated Orchard Management	81		\$122,975	\$31,399	25.53%				
in Glenn County									
Air Management-(ac.)	3	300	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%				
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	2	\$120	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	3	300	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%				
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	3	700	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%				
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	300	\$10,500	\$0	0.00%				
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	100	\$210	\$0	0.00%				
Glenn totals for Integrated Orchard Management	14		\$19,830	\$0	0.00%				
Totals for Integrated Orchard Management	95		\$142,805	\$31,399	21.99%				
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Yurok Territory; Fundcode Number = 060048									
in Humboldt County									
Access Road-(ft.)	10	24,014	\$100,000	\$0	0.00%				
Humboldt totals for Yurok Territory	10		\$100,000	\$0	0.00%				
Totals for Yurok Territory	10		\$100,000	\$0	0.00%				

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:47 PM, Page263 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Practice Number of Practices Units Cost-Shares Earned %Earned Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Mojave River: Fundown Vumber = 00000000000000000000000000000000000	·	Practices Planned					
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Mojave River; Fundcode Number = 060048 Number Num		Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
The conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.) 5 325 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
The Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.) 5 325 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 1 1.000 \$10,000 Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 1 1,700 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 10 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 10 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River \$1 \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Palo Verde \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Palo Verde \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Palo Verde \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Palo Verde \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$0.00% Los Angeles totals for Palo Verde \$1 \$1 \$10,000 \$0 \$	Practices Planned and C/S earned in: M	Ioiave Rive	er: Fundcod	le Number = 0	60049		
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.) 5 325 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-						
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 \$10,000 \$10,000 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 1 1 1,700 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100,00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$0 0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Corporation County \$0		5	225	0.2	\$0	0.009/	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 1 1,700 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100,00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100,00% Los Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 100,00% Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.) 5 \$55 \$8 \$49,358 \$39,358 79,74% Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 \$10,000 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 4 9,430 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$							
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)			_				
Nutrient Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 4 260 \$0 \$0 0.00% Co. Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$100.00% Co. Angeles totals for Mojave River 19 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$100.00% Co. Angeles totals for Mojave River Universide County Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.) 5 595 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Co.							
Pest Management-(ac.)		4		* *	* *		
19 \$10,000		4					
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19		\$10,000	\$10,000	100.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.) 5 595 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.) 5 58 \$49,358 \$39,358 79.74% Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 \$10,000 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.) 1 800 \$0 \$0 0.00% Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.) 1 800 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.) 5 34 \$0 \$0 0.00% San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66,31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Num	•						
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)		5	595	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,			58	\$49,358	\$39,358	79.74%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.) 1 800 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management, Gac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.) 5 34 \$0 \$0 0.00% San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66.31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Riverside County \$69,358 \$49,358 \$0 0.00% Riverside County \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Riverside County \$0 \$0.00% \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 0.00% 0.00%			9,430				
Nutrient Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.) 5 34 \$0 \$0 0.00% San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66.31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 \$0 0.00% Riverside County 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 \$0 0.00% In Alameda County \$2,000 \$0 0.00%		28					
Pest Management-(ac.) 28 804 \$0 \$0 0.00% Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.) 5 34 \$0 \$0 0.00% San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66.31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 In Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 In Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%					* *		
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.) 5 34 \$0 \$0 0.00% San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66.31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 In Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%							
San Bernardino totals for Mojave River 105 \$59,358 \$39,358 66.31% Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 Im Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 \$0 0.00% In Alameda County \$2,000 \$0 0.00%							
Totals for Mojave River 124 \$69,358 \$49,358 71.16% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 in Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		34				
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Palo Verde; Fundcode Number = 060061 in Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	3	105			,		
in Riverside County Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 In Alameda County In Alameda County In Alameda County \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	Totals for Mojave River	124		\$69,358	\$49,358	71.16%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 In Alameda County In Alameda County In Alameda County \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	Practices Planned and C/S earned in: P	alo Verde;	Fundcode I	Number = 060	<u>061</u>		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining - 3 45,000 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 In Alameda County In Alameda County In Alameda County \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	in Riverside County						
Riverside totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Totals for Palo Verde 3 \$45,000 \$0 0.00% Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 In Alameda County In Alameda Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%		3	45,000	\$45,000	\$0	0.00%	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Alameda Creek; Fundcode Number = 060066 in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	Riverside totals for Palo Verde	3		\$45,000	\$0	0.00%	
in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	Totals for Palo Verde	3		\$45,000	\$0	0.00%	
in Alameda County Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	Practices Planned and C/S earned in: A	dameda Cr	eek: Fundc	ode Number =	- 060066		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%	•				00000		
		1	4	\$2,000	0.2	0.00%	
Fence (ft) 1 12 000 \$12 000 \$0 0 00%	Fence-(ft.)	1	13,000	\$13,000	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.) 1 4 \$2,000 \$0 0.00%							
Pipeline-(ft.) 1 2,500 \$5,000 \$0 0.00%			-				
Pond-(no.) 1 1 \$15,000 \$0 0.00%			·		* *		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.) 1 1 \$4,000 \$0 0.00%		1	1				
Spring Development-(no.) 2 2 \$3,000 \$0 0.00%		_					
Watering Facility-(no.) 1 4 \$6,000 \$0 0.00%				· ·	\$0		
Alameda totals for Alameda Creek 9 \$50,000 \$0 0.00%	• • •	9		•	\$0	0.00%	
Totals for Alameda Creek 9 \$50,000 \$0 0.00%	Totals for Alameda Creek	9		•	\$0	0.00%	

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

			actices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Southfork-T	Tehachapi R	Rangeland; Fun	dcode Nu	mber = 060069
in Kern County					_
Access Road-(ft.)	3	21,705	\$17,313	\$0	0.00%
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	4	55,440	\$10,106	\$0	0.00%
Brush Management-(ac.)	4	127	\$16,983	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	5	23,608	\$17,034	\$0	0.00%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	4	3,056	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	10	11,300	\$11,318	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	16	46,485	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	50	\$3,093	\$0	0.00%
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	4	21	\$1,350	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	10	19	\$12,439	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	13	28	\$19,952	\$0	0.00%
Kern totals for Southfork-Tehachapi Rangeland	75		\$111,088	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Southfork-Tehachapi Rangeland	75		\$111,088	\$0	0.00%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Fall River;	Fundcode N	Number = 0600'	<u>71</u>	
in Shasta County					
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	94	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	94	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	94	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Shasta totals for Fall River	3		\$0	\$0	
Totals for Fall River	3		\$0	\$0	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Central Sier	ra Vegetati	on Mgmt & W	O: Funde	ode Number =
060073				x , = =====	
in Tuolumne County					
Access Road-(ft.)	1	30	\$810	\$0	0.00%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	0	\$94	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	2	2,931	\$1,069	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	12	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	4	85	\$8,206	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	15	800	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	125	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Tuolumne totals for Central Sierra Vegetation Mgmt			\$11,304	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Central Sierra Vegetation Mgmt &	29		\$11,304	\$0	0.00%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:50 PM, Page265 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Duid source. OSDA-1-SA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

·		Pract	ices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares (Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Tehama Cou	inty Westside	Watersheds:	Fundco	de Number =
060074					
in Tehama County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	86	\$5,610	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	3	16,202	\$30,379	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	3,097	\$4,646	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$1,425	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	95	\$3,150	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%
Tehama totals for Tehama County Westside Watershe	ds 10		\$46,335	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Tehama County Westside Watersheds	10		\$46,335	\$0	0.00%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Butte/Glenn	Integrated C	rchard Mana	igement;	Fundcode
Number =					
in Butte County					
Cover Crop-(ac.)	5	23	\$795	\$0	0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	1	\$300	\$0	0.00%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	6	4,950	\$1,797	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure		1,000	\$6,807	\$6,807	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	27	1,408	\$9,819	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	27	1,408	\$5,659	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	57	4,805	\$66,152	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	8	22	\$785	\$0	0.00%
Butte totals for Butte/Glenn Integrated Orchard	134		\$92,114	\$6,807	7.39%
in Glenn County					
Air Management-(ac.)	6	469	\$6,267	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	5	\$600	\$0	0.00%
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	2	\$345	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	4	\$675	\$0	0.00%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	1,300	\$375	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	2	\$31,466	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	8	770	\$2,298	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	6	469	\$2,343	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	6	469	\$12,954	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	300	\$746	\$0	0.00%
Glenn totals for Butte/Glenn Integrated Orchard	34		\$58,069	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Butte/Glenn Integrated Orchard	168		\$150,183	\$6,807	4.53%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:51 PM, Page 266 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Pra	ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Santa Ynez	River; Fun	dcode Numbe	r = 060079	
in Santa Barbara County					
Access Road-(ft.)	1	200	\$5,000	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	4	10,083	\$20,040	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	26	\$3,250	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	3,100	\$6,200	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	8	10,911	\$1,692	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	4	4	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	210	\$26,250	\$0	0.00%
Vegetative Buffer Strips-(ac.)	1	2	\$350	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	4	5	\$11,000	\$0	0.00%
Santa Barbara totals for Santa Ynez River	29		\$79,782	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Santa Ynez River	29		\$79,782	\$0	0.00%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Calleguas C	reek/Mugu	Lagoon; Fund	dcode Num	ber = 060081
in Ventura County					
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	2	1	\$3,279	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	5	205	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	2	485	\$11,587	\$0	0.00%
Mulching-(ac.)	1	5	\$2,110	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	5	205	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	4	164	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	5	8	\$28,024	\$0	0.00%
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	41	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Ventura totals for Calleguas Creek/Mugu Lagoon	25		\$45,000	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Calleguas Creek/Mugu Lagoon	25		\$45,000	\$0	0.00%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:52 PM, Page267 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Pract	ices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Upper Sacra	mento Dairy	; Fundcode	Number =	060082
in Glenn County					
Dike-(ft.)	3	4,480	\$5,984	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	3	3	\$24,135	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure	, 2	3,570	\$16,540	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	20	1,787	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	25	2,055	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$12,794	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	3	3	\$21,301		0.00%
Structure for Water Control	5	9	\$16,083	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	1	\$4,465	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	5	5	\$34,632	\$0	0.00%
Glenn totals for Upper Sacramento Dairy	69		\$135,934	\$0	0.00%
in Tehama County					
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$4,023	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$11,266	\$0	0.00%
Tehama totals for Upper Sacramento Dairy	3		\$15,289	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Upper Sacramento Dairy	72		\$151,223	\$0	0.00%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Central Frei	iso County G	PA; Fundco	de Numbe	er = 060088
in Fresno County					
Air Management-(ac.)	7	2	\$1,688	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	27	438	\$3,792	\$0	0.00%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	330	\$330	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	9	77	\$35,190	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	2	43	\$10,070	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	41	561	\$7,945	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	45	637	\$9,198	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	50	745	\$10,623	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	27	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Fresno totals for Central Frenso County GPA	183		\$78,836	\$0	0.00%
Totals for Central Frenso County GPA	183		\$78,836	\$0	0.00%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:53 PM, Page268 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

		Prac	ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: N	orth Coast	Plains; Fu	ndcode Numb	er = 060090	
in Humboldt County				_	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	1	80	\$420	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	1	5,490	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	9	12,011	\$51,074	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	35	3,420	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	10	2,646	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	4	4	\$23,400	\$0	0.00%
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	3	1,320	\$27,278	\$0	0.00%
Waste Management System-(no.)	5	9	\$15,015	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	2	101	\$16,259	\$0	0.00%
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	4	313	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%
Humboldt totals for North Coast Plains	74	313	\$144,246	\$0	0.00%
Totals for North Coast Plains	74		\$144,246	\$0	0.00%
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: P	ropect/Cac	he Slough V	Vatershed; Fu	ındcode Nu	mber = 060091
in Solano County					
Cover Crop-(ac.)	8	444	\$5,999	\$0	0.00%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$188	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	2	6,580	\$6,580	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	200	\$3,150		0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	4	\$2,095	\$0	0.00%
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	950	\$1,792	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	3	85	\$17,500	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	33	6,949	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	23	2,344	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	23	2,050	\$20,195	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	2,000	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	13	5,930	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	15	\$1,050	\$0	0.00%
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	2	4	\$7,490		0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	2	3	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%
Structure for Water Control	1	3	\$995	\$0	0.00%
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	7	13	\$262	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	6	\$2,250		0.00%
Solano totals for Propect/Cache Slough Watershed	128	· ·	\$84,196	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Totals for Propect/Cache Slough Watershed	128		\$84,196	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Totals for Tropecti Cache Slough Watersheu	120		ψ0 7 ,170	ΨΟ	0.0070

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Yuba River	Watershed	GPA; Fundc	ode Number	r = 060093	
in Yuba County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	17	305	\$55,360	0 \$0	0.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	3	20	\$0	0 \$0	0.00%	
Yuba totals for Yuba River Watershed GPA	20		\$55,360	0 \$0	0.00%	
Totals for Yuba River Watershed GPA	20		\$55,360	0 \$0	0.00%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:55 PM, Page270 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cutyorntu	Practices Planned				
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	LHHS No Y	ear Funds:	Fundcode Nu	 mber = 068	<u></u> 8501
in Amador County				111001 000	
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	33	\$4,438	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	2	40	\$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	20	\$1,750	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Amador totals for LHHS No Year Funds	5	20	\$6,188	\$0	0.00%
in Calaveras County	3		ψ0,100	Ψ	0.0070
Brush Management-(ac.)	4	102	\$11,775	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	1	2,800	\$3,920	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	2	24	\$749	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	7	1,889	\$15,286	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	52	\$4,560	\$0	0.00%
Calaveras totals for LHHS No Year Funds	16		\$36,290	\$0	0.00%
in Humboldt County			,		
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	10	\$750	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	1,500	\$180	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	1,125	\$3,186	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	1	3	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	3	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Humboldt totals for LHHS No Year Funds	10		\$10,491	\$0	0.00%
in Lassen County					
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	2	135	\$2,363	\$0	0.00%
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	2	1	\$1,325	\$0	0.00%
Dike-(ft.)	1	160	\$1,440	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	8	55,550	\$59,253	\$0	0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	23	\$8,625	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	16	1,940	\$34,793	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	4	2,340	\$3,510	\$0	0.00%
Pond-(no.)	2	3	\$6,075	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	10	1,000	\$8,610	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	5	444	\$19,568	\$0	0.00%
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	200	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	150	\$6,188	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	5	\$3,474	\$0	0.00%
Water Well-(no.)	2	2	\$5,126	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	4	4	\$4,770	\$0 \$0	0.00%
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	6	\$189	\$0	0.00%
Lassen totals for LHHS No Year Funds	63		\$168,309	\$0	0.00%

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

			ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Mendocino County					
Access Road-(ft.)	8	12,427	\$23,400	\$0	0.00%
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	320	\$4,800	\$0	0.00%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	5	\$900	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	5	4,145	\$9,329	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$750	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	520	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	250	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	7	\$1,050	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	450	\$604	\$0	0.00%
Road/Landing Removal-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	9	3,200	\$80,247	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	6	630	\$32,250	\$0	0.00%
Structure for Water Control	30	73	\$31,613	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$450	\$0	0.00%
Mendocino totals for LHHS No Year Funds	67		\$194,768	\$0	0.00%
in Merced County					
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	28	\$1,380	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	430	\$590	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	7	\$7,481	\$0	0.00%
Merced totals for LHHS No Year Funds	5		\$9,451	\$0	0.00%
in Monterey County					
Fence-(ft.)	1	1,320	\$2,310	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	22	1,200	\$25,200	\$0	0.00%
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	1	\$840	\$0	0.00%
Monterey totals for LHHS No Year Funds	24		\$28,350	\$0	0.00%
in Nevada County					
Brush Management-(ac.)	14	270	\$7,800	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	2	5,280	\$7,920	\$0	0.00%
Firebreak-(ft.)	1	300	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	2	10	\$637	\$0	0.00%
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	5	\$844	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	800	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.)	3	52	\$4,875	\$0	0.00%
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (ac.)	1	1,573	\$2,359	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	2	3	\$900	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	5	\$506	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	2	5	\$506	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	5	\$1,050	\$0	0.00%
Nevada totals for LHHS No Year Funds	35		\$28,597	\$0	0.00%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:57 PM, Page272 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuigornia	Practices Planned						
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
in Placer County							
Brush Management-(ac.)	13	120	\$4,000	\$0	0.00%		
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	2	5	\$5,000	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	2	9	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%		
Placer totals for LHHS No Year Funds	17		\$10,125	\$0	0.00%		
in Plumas County							
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	2	40	\$3,525	\$0	0.00%		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$2,000	\$0	0.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	40	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%		
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	42	\$8,000	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	1	20	\$1,890	\$0	0.00%		
Plumas totals for LHHS No Year Funds	6		\$16,915	\$0	0.00%		
in San Joaquin County							
Fence-(ft.)	1	2,640	\$11,975	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	6,000	\$10,654	\$0	0.00%		
San Joaquin totals for LHHS No Year Funds	2		\$22,629	\$0	0.00%		
in San Luis Obispo County							
Access Road-(ft.)	1	250	\$4,688	\$0	0.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	1	600	\$900	\$0	0.00%		
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	10	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	1,400	\$1,575	\$0	0.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	400	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$1,125	\$0	0.00%		
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$3,975	\$0	0.00%		
San Luis Obispo totals for LHHS No Year Funds	13		\$12,263	\$0	0.00%		
in San Mateo County							
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	4	2	\$650	\$0	0.00%		
Diversion-(ft.)	3	1,000	\$1,625	\$0	0.00%		
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	2	\$228	\$0	0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	3	5	\$9,750	\$0	0.00%		
Structure for Water Control	3	5	\$3,250	\$0	0.00%		
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	300	\$2,340	\$0	0.00%		
San Mateo totals for LHHS No Year Funds	15		\$17,843	\$0	0.00%		
<u>in Santa Cruz County</u>							
Access Road-(ft.)	1	40	\$600	\$0	0.00%		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$150	\$0	0.00%		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	2	\$6,000	\$0	0.00%		
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	150	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%		
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%		
Santa Cruz totals for LHHS No Year Funds	6		\$10,200	\$0	0.00%		

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Carlyonia	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Shasta County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	2,000	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	11	101	\$14,450	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	1	\$250	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	4,836	\$8,047	\$0	0.00%	
Firebreak-(ft.)	1	1,320	\$5,280	\$0	0.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	13	790	\$14,094	\$0	0.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	2	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	875	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	875	\$16,865	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	3	372	\$3,723	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	3	372	\$5,586	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	372	\$7,440	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	650	\$1,300	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	3	186	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	4	40	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	3	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	1	2	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	3	13	\$1,755	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$600	\$0	0.00%	
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	1	12	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	12	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Shasta totals for LHHS No Year Funds	59		\$102,890	\$0	0.00%	
<u>in Sierra County</u>						
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	40	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	3,600	\$3,511	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	40	\$900	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	9,600	\$13,740	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$7,500	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	94	\$7,050	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	3	\$9,000	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	2	2	\$13,125	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	13	\$7,245	\$0	0.00%	
Sierra totals for LHHS No Year Funds	19		\$66,721	\$0	0.00%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:46:59 PM, Page274 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Sonoma County						
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	2	5	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	10	175	\$4,500 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	2	161	\$660	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	50	\$975	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	32	\$14,400	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	50	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	100	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	1	1,725	\$32,745	\$0	0.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	130	\$2,775	\$0	0.00%	
Sonoma totals for LHHS No Year Funds	28		\$59,055	\$0	0.00%	
in Stanislaus County			400,000	**		
Fence-(ft.)	3	13,200	\$7,426	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	13,200	\$2,813	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	18	1,170	\$32,400	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	10	1,000	\$32,400 \$750	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	1,538	\$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1,336	\$506	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	1	10	\$281	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	2	2	\$4,311	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	3	\$1,750	\$0	0.00%	
Stanislaus totals for LHHS No Year Funds	30	5	\$50,237	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
in Trinity County	30		\$30,237	Φ0	0.0070	
Brush Management-(ac.)	5	125	\$5,625	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	5	3,700	\$1,879	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Forest Site Preparation-(ac.)	7	20	\$3,405	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	50	1,079	\$26,916	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	8	\$20,510	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	10	1,080	\$6,000	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	5	9	\$1,500	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	500	\$4,700	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	7	20	\$2,493	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	25	938	\$7,464	\$0	0.00%	
Trinity totals for LHHS No Year Funds	116	,,,,	\$59,982	\$0	0.00%	
in Tuolumne County	110		<i>\$27,702</i>	40	0.0070	
Access Road-(ft.)	1	30	\$810	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	1,025	\$1,069	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	1,023	\$1,009	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	9	199	\$8,206	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	0	\$8,200 \$94	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Tuolumne totals for LHHS No Year Funds	14	O	\$11,304	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Totals for LHHS No Year Funds	550		\$922,608	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
TOTALS TOT LITTLE IND TEAT FUHUS	330		\$922,008	20	0.00%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:01 PM, Page275 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Back To Contents

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Practice Number of Practice Units (Practice) Cot-Shares (Practice) Cot-Shares (Practice) Cot-Shares (Practice) Starred (Practice) Approved (Practice) Approved (Practice) Earned (Practice) Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Natural Research (Practice) Natural Research (Practice) Town town town town town town town town t
Practices Approved Approved Farned %Earned Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Natural Resource Concerns: Fundcode Number = 069999 in Alameda County Suppose the prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 1 1,365 \$3,071 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 1 10 856 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64,32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100,00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100,00% Maneda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 1 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 6 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 9,040 \$14,275 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Piest Management-(ac.) 2 <
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: Natural Resource Concerns; Fundcode Number = 069999 in Alameda County Fence-(ft.) 1 1,365 \$3,071 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 10 856 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64,32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% In Amador County 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00%
in Alameda County Fence-(ft.) 1 1,365 \$3,071 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 10 856 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64.32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% In Amador County 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0
Fence-(ft.) 1 1,365 \$3,071 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 10 856 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64.32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County \$15 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.)
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 10 856 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64.32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1
Range Planting-(ac.) 2 31 \$1,749 \$1,125 64.32% Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 2 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321
Underground Outlet-(ft.) 1 500 \$2,000 \$2,000 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County Stype 1 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Brush Management-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 2 \$1,020 \$1 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Conce
Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$263 \$263 \$100.00% Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 2 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Alameda totals for Natural Resource Concerns 15 \$7,083 \$3,388 47.83% in Amador County Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
in Amador County Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Brush Management-(ac.) 3 67 \$10,131 \$4,881 48.18% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 50 \$1,625 \$0 0.00% Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Fence-(ft.) 3 9,040 \$14,757 \$2,136 14.47% Pest Management-(ac.) 2 30 \$2,815 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Pipeline-(ft.) 2 2,500 \$2,844 \$844 29.68% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 8 445 \$0 \$0 0.00% Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Range Planting-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,020 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$1,500 \$0 0.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Watering Facility-(no.) 2 3,151 \$3,470 \$321 9.25% Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
Amador totals for Natural Resource Concerns 23 \$38,162 \$8,182 21.44%
<u>in Butte County</u>
Cover Crop-(ac.) 4 40 \$23,060 \$23,060 100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 2 36 \$0 \$0 0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.) 3 66 \$0 0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.) 5 121 \$0 \$0 0.00%
Butte totals for Natural Resource Concerns 14 \$23,060 \$23,060 100.00%
in Calaveras County
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.) 5 5 \$1,500 \$1,500 100.00%
Brush Management-(ac.) 17 798 \$26,948 \$20,824 77.27%
Fence-(ft.) 2 6,950 \$9,225 \$9,225 100.00%
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 6 60 \$4,500 \$1,125 25.00%
Pipeline-(ft.) 1 800 \$840 \$840 100.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 39 7,316 \$0 \$0 0.00%
Range Planting-(ac.) 6 725 \$19,035 \$12,420 65.25%
Spring Development-(no.) 1 1 \$840 \$840 100.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 6 120 \$1,200 \$0 0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.) 1 1 \$525 \$525 100.00%
Calaveras totals for Natural Resource Concerns 84 \$64,613 \$47,299 73.20%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:02 PM, Page276 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Colusa County				<u></u>		
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	36	\$795	\$0	0.00%	
Diversion-(ft.)	2	1,900	\$4,800	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	7,500	\$6,612	\$2,212	33.45%	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	7,300 1	\$33	\$2,212	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	36	\$5,705	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	1,781	\$10,010	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	1,825	\$2,546	\$2,546	100.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1,823	\$2,340	\$2,028	100.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	225	\$2,028 \$15,356	\$2,028 \$15,356	100.00%	
	2	223	. ,	\$15,336		
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	3	56	\$24,000		100.00% 0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1		\$1,425	\$0 \$0		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)		2	\$110	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	11,272	\$10,567		0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	6	\$3,291	\$3,291	100.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	14	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%	
Colusa totals for Natural Resource Concerns	27		\$90,653	\$49,433	54.53%	
<u>in Contra Costa County</u>						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	10	\$250	\$250	100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	1	1,500	\$3,375	\$3,375	100.00%	
Firebreak-(ft.)	2	1,700	\$953	\$953	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	2	3,290	\$2,625	\$2,625	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	7	67	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	30	\$45	\$45	100.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	8	1,050	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Water Well-(no.)	1	1	\$2,625	\$2,625	100.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$188	\$188	100.00%	
Contra Costa totals for Natural Resource Concerns	26		\$10,061	\$10,061	100.00%	
in El Dorado County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	25	\$638	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	15	\$2,813	\$2,813	100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	1	3,537	\$3,311	\$0	0.00%	
Firebreak-(ft.)	2	1,508	\$9,048	\$0	0.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	2	40	\$5,176	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	14	\$140	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	302	\$1,200	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	7	\$658	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	1	20	\$945	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$150	\$0 \$0	0.00%	
		1				
El Dorado totals for Natural Resource Concerns	12		\$24,079	\$2,813	11.68%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:03 PM, Page277 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

y	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Fresno County						
Access Road-(ft.)	209	1,695,012	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Air Management-(ac.)	887	28,850	\$551,006	\$157,360	28.56%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	69	6,496	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Heavy Use Area Protection-(ac.)	13	14	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	4,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	78	\$15,600	\$15,600	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1,890	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforced	1	2,357	\$6,571	\$6,571	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	15	951	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	7	321	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Fresno totals for Natural Resource Concerns	1,205		\$573,177	\$179,531	31.32%	
<u>in Glenn County</u>						
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	2	2	\$120	\$120	100.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	3	96	\$3,156	\$3,156	100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	4,481	\$1,250	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	3	234	\$7,009	\$7,009	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$1,922	\$1,922	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	500	\$2,375	\$2,375	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	16	1,564	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	16	1,564	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	10	1,327	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	6	264	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	12	1,346	\$5,744	\$5,744	100.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	5	\$650	\$650	100.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	2	\$75	\$0	0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	2	\$500	\$500	100.00%	
Glenn totals for Natural Resource Concerns	77		\$22,801	\$21,476	94.19%	

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Humboldt County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	37	10,495	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	0	\$38	\$0	0.00%	
Dike-(ft.)	1	632	\$948	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	6	32,645	\$8,980	\$0	0.00%	
Forest Land Erosion Control System-(no.)	10	1,350	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	7,900	\$4,149	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	32	16,382	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$2,943	\$2,943	100.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	3	9	\$2,835	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	132	\$4,617	\$0	0.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	0	\$94	\$0	0.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	14	2,820	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	10	\$2,297	\$0	0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Humboldt totals for Natural Resource Concerns	117		\$26,901	\$2,943	10.94%	
<u>in Kern County</u>						
Air Management-(ac.)	68	1,689	\$49,651	\$7,942	16.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	156	\$11,200	\$10,000	89.29%	
Fence-(ft.)	5	27,605	\$27,656	\$20,216	73.10%	
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	7	1,782	\$52,370	\$27,654	52.81%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	2,600	\$2,483	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	1,000	\$2,014	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	11	3,710	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	16	8,106	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	16	8,106	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	7	22,848	\$15,458	\$4,233	27.38%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	21	24,880	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	7	12	\$10,275	\$7,650	74.45%	
Water Well-(no.)	3	3	\$14,688	\$5,000	34.04%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	14	26	\$16,320	\$7,520	46.08%	
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	5	12	\$414	\$414	100.00%	
Kern totals for Natural Resource Concerns	185		\$202,529	\$90,629	44.75%	
in Kings County						
Access Road-(ft.)	3	29,982	\$3,720	\$2,040	54.84%	
Air Management-(ac.)	356	11,683	\$179,269	\$30,692	17.12%	
Kings totals for Natural Resource Concerns	359	,	\$182,989	\$32,732	17.89%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:06 PM, Page279 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

canyona.	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Lassen County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	416	\$8,100	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	0	\$90	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	16	57,828	\$41,073	\$18,289	44.53%	
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	180	\$6,750	\$6,750	100.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	12	227	\$4,563	\$250	5.48%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	3	2,190	\$2,265		0.00%	
Pond Sealing or Lining - Flexible Membrane-(no.)	1	3	\$1,800	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	18	7,269	\$51,598	\$4,490	8.70%	
Spring Development-(no.)	2	5	\$1,080		0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	2	850	\$2,666		0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$1,500		0.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	6	66	\$1,092		15.48%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	6	\$4,640		0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	39	\$585	\$0	0.00%	
Lassen totals for Natural Resource Concerns	69		\$127,802	\$29,948	23.43%	
<u>in Madera County</u>						
Air Management-(ac.)	372	5,528	\$237,499	\$64,681	27.23%	
Madera totals for Natural Resource Concerns	372		\$237,499	\$64,681	27.23%	
in Mendocino County						
Access Road-(ft.)	5	2,740	\$6,450	\$3,525	54.65%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	4,200	\$375		0.00%	
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	10	\$4,500	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	2	56	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	400	\$600	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	2	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$1,725	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	2	550	\$18,426	. ,	100.00%	
Structure for Water Control	5	6	\$2,644		59.98%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	2	\$600	\$0	0.00%	
Mendocino totals for Natural Resource Concerns	27		\$35,320	\$23,537	66.64%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:07 PM, Page280 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

.	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Merced County						
Air Management-(ac.)	167	12,539	\$165,899	\$75,360	45.43%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	1	1,154	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	2	56	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	2	\$4,689	\$4,689	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	1	1	\$4,857		100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforced	2	1,300	\$8,848		100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	10	303	\$0	* -	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	19	5,058	\$0		0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	16	7,326	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Merced totals for Natural Resource Concerns	220		\$184,293	\$93,754	50.87%	
in Modoc County						
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	20,251	\$14,647	\$7,785	53.15%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	5	120	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	47	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Modoc totals for Natural Resource Concerns	12		\$14,647	\$7,785	53.15%	
in Mono County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	1,040	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	1	43,560	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	1,040	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	1,040	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	1,040	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	10	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Mono totals for Natural Resource Concerns	7		\$50,000	\$0	0.00%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:08 PM, Page281 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijorniu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Monterey County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	7	495	\$28,333	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	29	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	3	2	\$225	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	23	80,676	\$70,017	\$28,608	40.86%	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	1	\$2,296	\$0	0.00%	
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	2	2	\$2,925	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	4	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	2	58	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	14	46,832	\$24,555	\$5,172	21.06%	
Pond-(no.)	3	3	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	75	66,261	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	7	304	\$20,872	\$2,052	9.83%	
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$7,425	\$0	0.00%	
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	12	186	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$15	\$15	100.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	1,200	\$6,000	\$6,000	100.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	9	6,305	\$1,609	\$0	0.00%	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	3	3	\$10,500	\$10,500	100.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	16	26	\$28,035	\$4,235	15.11%	
Monterey totals for Natural Resource Concerns	186		\$214,307	\$56,582	26.40%	
in Napa County						
Fence-(ft.)	1	5,700	\$2,850	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	6	6,709	\$3,082	\$1,500	48.67%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	310	\$9,848	\$3,939	40.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Napa totals for Natural Resource Concerns	9		\$15,780	\$5,439	34.47%	
in Nevada County						
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	5	92	\$3,826	\$2,219	58.00%	
Nevada totals for Natural Resource Concerns	5		\$3,826	\$2,219	58.00%	
in Placer County						
Brush Management-(ac.)	5	185	\$6,248	\$6,248	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		1,230	\$836	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	8	472	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	101	\$6,313	\$6,313	100.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	2	188	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	4	404	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	3	242	\$4,598	\$1,786	38.84%	
Placer totals for Natural Resource Concerns	24		\$17,995	\$14,347	79.73%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:09 PM, Page282 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

			ctices Planned		
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Plumas County					
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	2	\$1,688	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	1	3,100	\$4,650	\$0	0.00%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$5,100	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	1,000	\$657	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	84	\$126	\$0	0.00%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	2	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$414	\$0	0.00%
Plumas totals for Natural Resource Concerns	8		\$14,135	\$0	0.00%
in Riverside County					
Air Management-(ac.)	4	3,105	\$7,425	\$0	0.00%
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	1	160	\$2,000	\$2,000	100.00%
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	160	\$3,100	\$3,100	100.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	2,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining -	1	1,320	\$9,859	\$9,859	100.00%
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	3	480	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	3	480	\$2,400	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	38	\$10,000	\$0	0.00%
Riverside totals for Natural Resource Concerns	15		\$44,684	\$22,459	50.26%
in Sacramento County					
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1	7	\$110	\$110	100.00%
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$5,000	\$5,000	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	10	1,155	\$3,480	\$2,755	79.17%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	10	1,155	\$3,480	\$2,755	79.17%
Pest Management-(ac.)	10	1,155	\$3,475	\$2,751	79.17%
Sacramento totals for Natural Resource Concerns	32		\$15,545	\$13,371	86.01%
in San Benito County					
Access Road-(ft.)	1	31,680	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	10	57,100	\$24,235	\$2,810	11.59%
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	1	\$5,000	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	2	2	\$1,700	\$1,200	70.59%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	3	9,250	\$15,056	\$12,047	80.01%
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	450	\$3,750	\$1,250	33.33%
Pipeline-(ft.)	8	18,100	\$8,950	\$2,750	30.73%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	7	10,312	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	3	3	\$750	\$250	33.33%
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	1	600	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	4	1,900	\$3,626	\$2,006	55.32%
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	3	11	\$1,250	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	10	17	\$7,740	\$1,040	13.44%
San Benito totals for Natural Resource Concerns	57		\$74,557	\$23,353	31.32%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:10 PM, Page283 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuigoriu	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in San Bernardino County						
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	3	13	\$4,900	\$4,900	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	4	3,513	\$9,450	\$9,450	100.00%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	14	346	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	14	346	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	4	225	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	5	55	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
San Bernardino totals for Natural Resource Concerns	45		\$24,350	\$24,350	100.00%	
in San Joaquin County						
Air Management-(ac.)	213	13,448	\$114,394	\$4,837	4.23%	
Fence-(ft.)	3	6,720	\$15,095	\$0	0.00%	
Improved Water Application-(ac.)	75	5,377	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$375	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	75	5,377	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	81	8,372	\$300	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	6,200	\$10,654	\$0	0.00%	
Planned Grazing System-(ac.)	5	80	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$9,600	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	15	26,490	\$300	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	300	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	26,330	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	5	26,330	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	1	2,080	\$101	\$0	0.00%	
San Joaquin totals for Natural Resource Concerns	484		\$150,819	\$4,837	3.21%	
in San Luis Obispo County						
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	7	9	\$10,012	\$0	0.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	7	14,000	\$17,250	\$0	0.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	5,280	\$2,534	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	3	204	\$1,530	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	2	110	\$5,438	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	3	4	\$3,450	\$0	0.00%	
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	100	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	11	1,800	\$53,625	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$1,500	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	4	4	\$3,000	\$0	0.00%	
San Luis Obispo totals for Natural Resource Concerns	41		\$100,214	\$0	0.00%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:12 PM, Page284 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

,	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in San Mateo County						
Access Road-(ft.)	1	300	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Brush Management-(ac.)	6	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	5	447	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Cover Crop-(ac.)	10	606	\$325	\$0	0.00%	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	3	3	\$65	\$65	100.00%	
Diversion-(ft.)	1	1,210	\$3,146	\$3,146	100.00%	
Fence-(ft.)	2	5,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Heavy Use Area Protection-(ac.)	6	6	\$0	* *	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	3	34	\$14,763	\$2,500	16.93%	
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	17	304	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	6	103	\$1,290	\$1,290	100.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	6	103	\$2,580	\$2,580	100.00%	
Pipeline-(ft.)	2	3,500	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	7	490	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Residue Management, Mulch till-(ac.)	5	493	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Spring Development-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	3	700	\$50,000	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	2	\$520	\$520	100.00%	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	6	6	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	380	\$1,647	\$1,647	100.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	6	450	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	6	930	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	1	1	\$975	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	3	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
San Mateo totals for Natural Resource Concerns	109		\$75,311	\$11,748	15.60%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:13 PM, Page285 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

y	Practices Planned							
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares				
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned			
in Santa Barbara County								
Access Road-(ft.)	2	9,000	\$20,280	\$0	0.00%			
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	3	11	\$875	\$250	28.57%			
Diversion-(ft.)	1	1,050	\$4,673	\$0	0.00%			
Fence-(ft.)	22	72,637	\$97,085	\$7,842	8.08%			
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	1	\$500	\$0	0.00%			
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	5	\$1,125	\$1,125	100.00%			
Pipeline-(ft.)	20	18,130	\$16,973	\$0	0.00%			
Pond-(no.)	1	750	\$844	\$0	0.00%			
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	9	18,987	\$20,807	\$0	0.00%			
Sediment Basin-(no.)	1	1	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%			
Spring Development-(no.)	14	16	\$19,630	\$505	2.57%			
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	2	400	\$2,175	\$0	0.00%			
Structure for Water Control	1	1	\$999	\$0	0.00%			
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	2	210	\$3,150	\$0	0.00%			
Watering Facility-(no.)	34	38	\$33,913	\$0	0.00%			
Santa Barbara totals for Natural Resource Concerns	114		\$224,029	\$9,722	4.34%			
<u>in Santa Clara County</u>								
Fence-(ft.)	5	16,800	\$9,003	\$0	0.00%			
Firebreak-(ft.)	6	61,700	\$8,080	\$0	0.00%			
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	7,750	\$3,875	\$0	0.00%			
Pond-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	6	905	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	10	24,530	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Spring Development-(no.)	3	3	\$750	\$0	0.00%			
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	2	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%			
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	10	\$3,750	\$0	0.00%			
Santa Clara totals for Natural Resource Concerns	42		\$26,458	\$0	0.00%			

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:14 PM, Page286 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijornia	Practices Planned								
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares						
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned				
in Santa Cruz County				' <u></u>					
Access Road-(ft.)	2	1,000	\$9,952	\$9,952	100.00%				
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1,000	\$450	\$9,932	0.00%				
Cover Crop-(ac.)	33	423	\$3,386	\$1,799	53.13%				
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	9	11	\$4,983	\$233	4.68%				
Diversion-(ft.)	3	1,100	\$11,800	\$2,000	16.95%				
Filter Strip-(ac.)	4	8	\$6,389	\$5,914	92.57%				
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	4	11	\$4,241	\$0	0.00%				
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	1	1	\$132	\$132	100.00%				
Heavy Use Area Protection-(ac.)	5	15	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	16	306	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$15,000	\$0	0.00%				
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	15	530	\$420	\$0	0.00%				
Sediment Basin-(no.)	1	1	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%				
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	1	60	\$520	\$0	0.00%				
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	5	860	\$10,520	\$0	0.00%				
Structure for Water Control	12	19	\$11,231	\$3,231	28.77%				
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	3	2,180	\$12,440	\$4,500	36.17%				
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	1	300	\$2,250	\$0	0.00%				
Santa Cruz totals for Natural Resource Concerns	118		\$94,714	\$27,761	29.31%				
in Shasta County									
Brush Management-(ac.)	4	40	\$10,950	\$8,950	81.74%				
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	1	1	\$225	\$0	0.00%				
Fence-(ft.)	7	24,042	\$24,395	\$17,957	73.61%				
Firebreak-(ft.)	7	9,358	\$12,911	\$8,091	62.67%				
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	2	10	\$1,175	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	350	\$788	\$788	100.00%				
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	4	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	1	4	\$3,938	\$3,938	100.00%				
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	1	4	\$479	\$479	100.00%				
Pipeline-(ft.)	1 3	1,400 123	\$2,800	\$0 \$0	0.00%				
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	12	438	\$5,863	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%				
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) Range Planting-(ac.)	5	63	\$4,369 \$6,994	\$1,009	14.43%				
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	2	23	\$15,903	\$1,009	0.00%				
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	2	725	\$39,113	\$0 \$0	0.00%				
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	123	\$39,113	\$300	100.00%				
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	4	98	\$3,380	\$3,380	100.00%				
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$3,380 \$750	\$3,380 \$0	0.00%				
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	1	3	\$3,427	\$3,427	100.00%				
Shasta totals for Natural Resource Concerns	57	3	\$137,760	\$48,319	35.07%				
Shasia iotais for matural resource Concerns	51		\$157,700	$\varphi = 0, J + J$	JJ.U1/0				

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:15 PM, Page287 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

	Practices Planned					
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned	
in Sierra County						
Fence-(ft.)	1	5,020	\$7,530	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Regulating Reservoir-(no.)	1	1	\$600	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1	10	\$3,975	\$0	0.00%	
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$1,486	\$0	0.00%	
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	90	\$3,375	\$0	0.00%	
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	1	50	\$1,875	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	1	4	\$900	\$0	0.00%	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	10	\$113	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	5	\$375	\$0	0.00%	
Sierra totals for Natural Resource Concerns	9		\$20,229	\$0	0.00%	
<u>in Siskiyou County</u>						
Fence-(ft.)	11	17,901	\$4,470	\$0	0.00%	
Forest Site Preparation-(ac.)	1	2	\$135	\$135	100.00%	
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	5	50	\$4,250	\$3,400	80.00%	
Irrigation Canal or Lateral-(ft.)	2	1,200	\$13,500	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$375	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	2	1,500	\$5,063	\$0	0.00%	
Pasture & Hayland Mgt(ac.)	7	60	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	2	11	\$390	\$0	0.00%	
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	3	62	\$4,150	\$530	12.77%	
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	12	377	\$1,487	\$933	62.74%	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	100	\$5,746	\$0	0.00%	
Structure for Water Control	4	83	\$1,538	\$0	0.00%	
Surface Drainage, Field Ditch-(ft.)	1	2,200	\$1,650	\$0	0.00%	
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Siskiyou totals for Natural Resource Concerns	53		\$42,754	\$4,998	11.69%	
<u>in Solano County</u>						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	7	434	\$6,493	\$5,708	87.91%	
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	1,520	\$1,050	\$1,050	100.00%	
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	1	7,920	\$13,211	\$13,211	100.00%	
Pest Management-(ac.)	1	18	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
Solano totals for Natural Resource Concerns	11		\$20,754	\$19,969	96.22%	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:16 PM, Page288 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

		Prac			
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned
in Sonoma County					
Access Road-(ft.)	3	2,005	\$5,553	\$4,853	87.39%
Fence-(ft.)	5	5,689	\$6,962	\$4,307	61.86%
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	2	\$147	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	1	1,625	\$2,275	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	5	150	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	5	3,610	\$7,212	\$2,240	31.06%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	16	4,435	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$2,767	\$0	0.00%
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	1	1	\$756	\$0	0.00%
Spring Development-(no.)	1	4	\$1,445	\$0	0.00%
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	1	40	\$1,515	\$0	0.00%
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$8,120	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	5	12	\$4,291	\$1,351	31.48%
Sonoma totals for Natural Resource Concerns	46		\$41,043	\$12,751	31.07%
in Stanislaus County					
Air Management-(ac.)	181	6,720	\$94,855	\$16,905	17.82%
Cover Crop-(ac.)	105	5,696	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	2	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Fence-(ft.)	2	3,235	\$1,980	\$0	0.00%
Firebreak-(ft.)	9	1,007,680	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	6	189	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	6	74	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	215	8,340	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	212	8,289	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	215	8,830	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	200	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	30	37,664	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	186	7,630	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Stanislaus totals for Natural Resource Concerns	1,173		\$96,835	\$16,905	17.46%
in Sutter County					
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	3	50	\$18,488	\$18,488	100.00%
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	1	1,100	\$5,013	\$5,013	100.00%
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	4	134	\$875	\$875	100.00%
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	2	132	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	197	\$2,591	\$1,728	66.69%
Structure for Water Control	2	8	\$1,950	\$1,950	100.00%
Sutter totals for Natural Resource Concerns	17		\$28,917	\$28,054	97.02%

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:17 PM, Page289 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

Practices Planned

California

Practice Practice Practice Image Practice Image				ctices Planned				
Techama County		Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares			
Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 1 1,600 \$3,600 \$3,600 100,00% Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 2,802 \$4,304 \$4,304 100,00% Fence-(ft.) 5 8,500 \$8,624 \$2,774 \$32,17% Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,853 \$1,853 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 1 900 \$1,800 \$1,800 \$1,800 Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 2 940 \$3,760 \$3,760 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 2 940 \$3,760 \$3,760 100,00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$6,692 100,00% Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$6,692 100,00% Pipeline-(ft.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4,42% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 22 \$30 \$50 0,00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 2 2 3 \$969 \$969 100,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,728 \$3,728 \$100,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,728 \$3,728 \$100,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,500 \$50 0,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,500 \$50 0,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,500 \$50 0,00% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$5,641 \$2,166 \$28,35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 \$69,42% Irrigation Management-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 \$24,97% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0,68% Firest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 \$24,97% Firebreak-(ft.) \$2 14,600 \$6,525 \$6,525 \$2,86% Firebreak-(ft.) \$2 14,600 \$6,525 \$6,525 \$6,525 \$2,86% Firebreak-(ft.) \$2 14,600 \$6,525	Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned		
Critical Area Planting-(ac.) 3 2,802 \$4,304 \$4,304 100,00% Fence-(ft.) 5 8,500 \$8,624 \$2,774 32.17% Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.) 1 20 \$1,853 \$1,853 \$10,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 1 900 \$1,800 \$1,800 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 279 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4.42% Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.) 2 22 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4.42% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 22 \$0 \$0 0.00% Waste Utilization-(ac.) 2 2 \$3,728 \$3,728 100,00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,216 100,00%	in Tehama County							
Fence-(ft.)	Access Road-(ft.)	1	1,600	\$3,600	\$3,600	100.00%		
Fence-(ft.)	Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	3	2,802	\$4,304	\$4,304	100.00%		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.) 1 1 1 56,000 \$6,000 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, 1 900 \$1,800 \$1,800 \$1,800 100,00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 2 940 \$3,760 \$3,760 100,00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 279 \$0 \$0 0,00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$6,692 100,00% Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$5,692 100,00% Pipeline-(ft.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4.42% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 22 25 \$0 \$0 0,00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 3 \$969 \$969 100,00% \$0.		5	8,500		\$2,774	32.17%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	1	20	\$1,853	\$1,853	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure, Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)		1	1	\$6,000	\$6,000	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 279 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$6,692 100.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 5 83,00 \$7,129 \$315 4.42% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 22 \$0 \$0 0.00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 22 \$3 \$969 \$969 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 20 \$3,728 \$3,728 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 20 \$3,728 \$3,728 100.00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Water Well-(no.) 8 \$3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 \$28,35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 \$69,42% Irrigation Mater Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 \$82,86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 \$80,84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$337,316 \$24,758 \$66,35% Irree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 \$80,84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 \$66,35% Irree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 \$80,84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 \$66,35% Irree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 In Hare County Access Road-(ft.) 3 8 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pescribed Grazing-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$0 \$0 0.00% Poscribed Grazing-(ac.) 5 20 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		1	900	\$1,800	\$1,800	100.00%		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)		2	940			100.00%		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.) 4 139 \$6,692 \$6,692 100.00% Pipeline-(ft.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4.42% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 2 22 \$0 \$0 0.00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 3 \$969 \$969 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 2 3,728 \$3,728 100.00% Waste Utilization-(ac.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Water Well-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Teham totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,711 69.42% Brush Management-(ac.) 1 1 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 \$2,775 50.68% Friebreak-(ft.) 4 10 </td <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>279</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		5	279					
Pipeline-(ft.) 5 8,300 \$7,129 \$315 4.4% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 2 3 \$969 \$969 100.00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 2 3 \$969 \$969 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 2 2 \$3,728 \$100.00% Waste Utilization-(ac.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$0.00% Water Well-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% Treinity County 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 2 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 3 \$7,416 \$814 \$814		4	139	\$6,692	\$6,692	100.00%		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 22 3 \$969 \$909 100.00% Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 2 2 3,728 \$3,728 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 204 \$0 \$0 0.00% Waste Well-(no.) 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,71 69.42% In Trinity County 8 3,508 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126		5						
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.) 2 3 \$969 \$969 100.00% Waste Management System-(no.) 2 2 2 33,728 \$3,728 100.00% Waste Utilization-(ac.) 2 20 3 \$3,728 \$3,000 0.00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% In Trinity County Brush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 2 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Treinty totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% In Tilare County Access Road-(ft.)<		2			\$0	0.00%		
Waste Management System-(no.) 2 2 2 \$3,728 \$3,728 100.00% Waste Utilization-(ac.) 2 204 \$0 \$0 0.00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Water Well-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$55,475 \$6,525 82.86% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$814 \$100.00% Access Road-(ft.) 38 \$217,406 \$814 \$814 \$100.00% Brush Management-(ac.) 563 \$3,510 \$287,036				\$969	\$969	100.00%		
Waste Utilization-(ac.) 2 204 \$0 0.00% Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% in Trinity County Brush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 \$2.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% Access Road-(ft.) 38 \$217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>\$3,728</td> <td>\$3,728</td> <td>100.00%</td>		2	2	\$3,728	\$3,728	100.00%		
Water Well-(no.) 1 1 1 \$3,210 \$3,210 100.00% Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% In Trinity County 8 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% Brush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 50,68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62,49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80,84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66,35% Irinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66,35% Irinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$21,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Access Road-(ft.) 38 \$217,406 \$814 \$814	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		204					
Watering Facility-(no.) 8 3,508 \$7,641 \$2,166 28.35% Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% im Trinity County Im Trinity County Brush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 \$2.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 \$0.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% Im Tulare County 38 \$217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Access Road-(ft.) 38 \$217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Fundamagement-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 \$2.1% Brush Management-(ac.) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>\$3,210</td> <td></td> <td></td>			1	\$3,210				
Tehama totals for Natural Resource Concerns 45 \$59,310 \$41,171 69.42% in Trinity County sush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 50.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County A3 \$217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$49,38 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Intrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 <t< td=""><td></td><td>8</td><td>3,508</td><td></td><td></td><td>28.35%</td></t<>		8	3,508			28.35%		
Brush Management-(ac.) 11 35 \$7,875 \$6,525 82.86% Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 50.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County \$38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, I 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.			,					
Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 50.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County Access Road-(ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5	in Trinity County							
Firebreak-(ft.) 4 10 \$5,475 \$2,775 50.68% Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County Access Road-(ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5	Brush Management-(ac.)	11	35	\$7,875	\$6,525	82.86%		
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.) 22 112 \$21,336 \$13,332 62.49% Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County Access Road-(ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Ingation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 <td>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</td> <td>4</td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50.68%</td>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	10			50.68%		
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.) 6 50 \$2,630 \$2,126 80.84% Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County **County** Access Road-(ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4		22						
Trinity totals for Natural Resource Concerns 43 \$37,316 \$24,758 66.35% in Tulare County Standard (ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, Ingation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617	Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	6	50	\$2,630		80.84%		
Access Road-(ft.) 38 217,406 \$814 \$814 100.00% Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 0.00%	- ', ',	43						
Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%	in Tulare County							
Air Management-(ac.) 563 53,510 \$287,036 \$23,554 8.21% Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%	Access Road-(ft.)	38	217,406	\$814	\$814	100.00%		
Brush Management-(ac.) 3 85 \$4,938 \$4,938 100.00% Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%		563		\$287,036	\$23,554			
Fence-(ft.) 2 14,500 \$6,525 \$6,525 100.00% Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%		3				100.00%		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure, 1 1,640 \$2,700 \$0 0.00% Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%		2	14.500					
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%								
Nutrient Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%					\$0			
Pest Management-(ac.) 5 220 \$0 \$0 0.00% Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%								
Pond-(no.) 1 3 \$825 \$0 0.00% Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%		5						
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.) 2 588 \$0 \$0 0.00% Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%								
Spring Development-(no.) 4 5 \$1,617 \$942 58.26% Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 \$0 0.00%					* *			
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.) 1 100 \$0 0.00%								
Transfire Fusing 1 (01.200 (00.7)	Watering Facility-(no.)	3	7	\$1,200	\$0	0.00%		
Tulare totals for Natural Resource Concerns 633 \$305,655 \$36,773 12.03%			,	•				

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:19 PM, Page290 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

y	Practices Planned								
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares					
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned				
in Tuolumne County									
Access Road-(ft.)	2	670	\$867	\$0	0.00%				
Brush Management-(ac.)	4	19	\$4,500	\$3,375	75.00%				
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	4	2	\$257	\$0	0.00%				
Fence-(ft.)	4	6,599	\$10,048	\$0	0.00%				
Forest Site Preparation-(ac.)	1	20	\$2,625	\$0	0.00%				
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	1	7	\$1,050	\$0	0.00%				
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	2	2	\$800	\$0	0.00%				
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	1	130	\$975	\$0	0.00%				
Pest Management-(ac.)	5	20	\$0		0.00%				
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	3,380	\$2,610	\$0	0.00%				
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	18	3,273	\$1,600	\$1,600	100.00%				
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	3	\$301	\$0	0.00%				
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	0	\$450	\$0	0.00%				
Spring Development-(no.)	2	2	\$2,106	\$0	0.00%				
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	10	1,000	\$1,500		0.00%				
Structure for Water Control	10	30	\$4,160	\$0	0.00%				
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	2,700	\$2,700	\$0	0.00%				
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	10	2,710	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Watering Facility-(no.)	2	6	\$1,721	\$0	0.00%				
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	14	218	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Tuolumne totals for Natural Resource Concerns	94		\$38,270	\$4,975	13.00%				
in Ventura County									
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	4	7	\$5,250	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	3	3	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	2	2,880	\$10,735	\$10,735	100.00%				
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	4	650	\$40,625	\$0	0.00%				
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	15	30	\$0	\$0	0.00%				
Ventura totals for Natural Resource Concerns	28		\$56,610	\$10,735	18.96%				

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:20 PM, Page291 of 2932*Data source: USDA-FSA type04

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

,	Practices Planned									
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares						
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned					
in Yolo County										
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	1	291	\$0	\$0	0.00%					
Field Border-(ft.)	1	3,235	\$322	\$0	0.00%					
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	1	1,650	\$468	\$0	0.00%					
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	2	2	\$14,225	\$14,225	100.00%					
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	2	307	\$64	\$0	0.00%					
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	1	291	\$0	\$0	0.00%					
Pest Management-(ac.)	3	292	\$43	\$0	0.00%					
Sediment Basin-(no.)	2	2	\$1,084	\$1,084	100.00%					
Structure for Water Control	1	4	\$1,883	\$1,883	100.00%					
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,238	\$1,238	100.00%					
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	2	6	\$952	\$952	100.00%					
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	1	22	\$375	\$0	0.00%					
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	1	5	\$1	\$0	0.00%					
Yolo totals for Natural Resource Concerns	19		\$20,655	\$19,382	93.84%					
Totals for Natural Resource Concerns	6,368		\$3,918,501	\$1,176,230	30.02%					

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:21 PM, Page292 of 2932

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned							
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares				
<u>Practice</u>	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned			
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Walker Rive	r GPA· Fu	ndcode Numb	er = 32000	2.			
in Mono County	vvance reive	7 G171, Tu	nacouc i (amb	<u>C1 </u>	<u>=</u>			
	2	00	#1 00	Φ.Ο.	0.000/			
Brush Management-(ac.)	2	89	\$1,006	\$0	0.00%			
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	1	110.006	\$420	\$420	100.00%			
Fence-(ft.)	33	119,896	\$66,498	\$11,170	16.80%			
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	1	2 240	\$2,471	\$2,471	100.00%			
Irrigation Field Ditch-(ft.)	2	3,240	\$3,240	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	2	14,550	\$8,663	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	1	1	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	5	48	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining		200	\$800	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure		2,710	\$11,367	\$4,670	41.08%			
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	29	19,960	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	4	113	\$148	\$0	0.00%			
Pasture & Hayland Mgt(ac.)	6	1,678	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	6	161	\$5,465	\$0	0.00%			
Pest Management-(ac.)	13	2,167	\$1,906	\$0	0.00%			
Pipeline-(ft.)	1	1,320	\$1,980	\$0	0.00%			
Pond-(no.)	2	2	\$22,939	\$22,939	100.00%			
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	23	14,801	\$6,615	\$3,800	57.45%			
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	1	1	\$1,781	\$1,781	100.00%			
Range Planting-(ac.)	1	23	\$821	\$0	0.00%			
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	4	12	\$5,755	\$4,585	79.67%			
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	11	2,327	\$54,017	\$3,413	6.32%			
Structure for Water Control	33	145	\$55,648	\$10,547	18.95%			
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	1	1	\$225	\$0	0.00%			
Waste Management System-(no.)	1	28	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Watering Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$847	\$0	0.00%			
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	3	7	\$2,100	\$0	0.00%			
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	2	1,386	\$520	\$223	42.88%			
Mono totals for Walker River GPA	192		\$255,232	\$66,019	25.87%			
Totals for Walker River GPA	192		\$255,232	\$66,019	25.87%			
Practices Planned and C/S earned in: M	Modoc/Wasl	hoe: Fundc	ode Number =	320007				
in Modoc County		,						
Brush Management-(ac.)	1	288	\$5,400	\$5,400	100.00%			
	1							
Fence-(ft.)	1	1,000	\$1,125	\$0 \$0	0.00%			
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	4	390	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	3	492	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Modoc totals for Modoc/Washoe	9		\$6,525	\$5,400	82.76%			
Totals for Modoc/Washoe	9		\$6,525	\$5,400	82.76%			

Conservation Practice Earning by Fundcode by County for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4rd Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned							
	Number of	Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares				
Practice	Practices	Approved	Approved	Earned	%Earned			
Practices Planned and C/S earned in:	Natural Res	ource Conce	erns; Fundcoo	le Number	= 329999			
in Santa Cruz County								
Cover Crop-(ac.)	4	88	\$1,650	\$0	0.00%			
Filter Strip-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,000	\$0	0.00%			
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	1	22	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	1	250	\$2,500	\$0	0.00%			
Santa Cruz totals for Natural Resource Concerns	7		\$5,150	\$0	0.00%			
Totals for Natural Resource Concerns	7		\$5,150	\$0	0.00%			
Totals for California	29,335		\$29,134,787	\$10,373,227	35.60%			

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practice_Earnings_by_FC_Cty-rpt, designed 5/10/2001 *Printed on 11/6/01 1:47:23 PM, Page294 of 2932 Data source: USDA-FSA type04*

Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

	Practices Planned					Practices Installed			
			Cost-Shares			Total Cost	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	<u>Number</u>	Amount	Approved	Number	Amount	of Installation	Approved	Earned	
Access Road-(ft.)	546	2,540,628	\$641,959	92	141,088	\$324,879	\$170,722	\$170,722	
Agrochemical Containment Facility-(no.)	1	1	\$1,859	1	1	\$2,478	\$1,859	\$1,859	
Agroforestry Planting-(ac.)	5	518	\$13,384	1	40	\$8,826	\$6,620	\$6,620	
Air Management-(ac.)	4,036	563,747	\$1,783,568	312	6,872	\$793,879	\$383,179	\$383,179	
Animal Trails and Walkways-(ft.)	26	67,347	\$59,629	4	5,204	\$29,570	\$10,050	\$10,050	
Anion Polyacrylamide (PAM) Erosion Control-(ac.)	26	2,499	\$34,819	3	226	\$12,058	\$3,424	\$3,424	
Barnyard Runoff Management-(no.)	4	272	\$0						
Brush Management-(ac.)	815	82,361	\$1,257,713	124	5,148	\$697,239	\$434,185	\$434,185	
Channel Vegetation-(ac.)	87	6,120	\$82,455	8	13	\$13,030	\$6,476	\$6,476	
Clearing & Snagging-(ft.)	15	33,856	\$38,455	9	26,966	\$28,987	\$16,735	\$16,735	
Composting Facility-(no.)	1	8,448	\$550	1	8,448	\$2,216	\$550	\$550	
Conservation Cover-(ac.)	15	69	\$36,915	2	2	\$2,208	\$900	\$900	
Conservation Crop Rotation-(ac.)	582	67,790	\$2,000	2	296	\$7,036	\$2,000	\$2,000	
Contour Farming-(ac.)	3	54	\$0						
Controlled Drainage-(ac.)	40	8,157	\$12,739						
Cover Crop-(ac.)	1,289	54,464	\$510,158	89	3,804	\$405,951	\$176,090	\$176,090	
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	5	5	\$3,800						
Critical Area Planting-(ac.)	241	12,782	\$148,772	51	3,200	\$60,029	\$25,715	\$25,715	
Cross Wind Stripcropping-(ac.)	1	652	\$0						
Dam, Diversion-(no.)	3	50	\$12,420						
Deep Tillage-(ac.)	108	19,086	\$72,890	23	2,369	\$90,673	\$35,147	\$35,147	
Dike-(ft.)	13	13,487	\$15,348	1	340	\$375	\$188	\$188	
Diversion-(ft.)	44	24,950	\$93,243	10	9,829	\$85,824	\$37,658	\$37,658	
Fence-(ft.)	823	3,037,081	\$2,746,638	209	888,863	\$2,511,514	\$800,179	\$800,179	
Field Border-(ft.)	12	22,788	\$6,550	4	16,640	\$5,510	\$2,710	\$2,710	
Filter Strip-(ac.)	82	1,591	\$35,120	5	38	\$18,079	\$9,154	\$9,154	
Firebreak-(ft.)	71	1,147,102	\$104,091	17	10,954	\$43,983	\$29,527	\$29,527	
Forage Harvest Management-(ac.)	1	41	\$0						
Forest Land Erosion Control System-(no.)	17	6,714	\$8,734	3	920	\$10,465	\$6,340	\$6,340	
Forest Site Preparation-(ac.)	10	52	\$9,165	1	2	\$411	\$135	\$135	

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practices_by_State, designed 2/23/2000, Page 13 of 154

Data source: USDA-FSA type04 and type11 recordsets

Tuesday, November 13, 2001

Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Carryonna	Practices Planned					Practices Installed				
			Cost-Shares			Total Cost	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Number	Amount	Approved	Number	Amount	of Installation	Approved	Earned		
Forest Stand Improvement-(ac.)	215	8,801	\$169,154	27	193	\$64,311	\$35,396	\$35,396		
Grade Stabilization Structure-(no.)	121	1,355	\$418,443	23	463	\$91,647	\$47,800	\$47,800		
Grassed Waterway-(ac.)	83	19,701	\$171,785	18	2,476	\$84,510	\$49,653	\$49,653		
Heavy Use Area Protection-(ac.)	26	39	\$0							
Hedgerow Planting-(ft.)	112	99,103	\$98,546	22	25,914	\$541,102	\$13,809	\$13,809		
Hillside Bench-(ac.)	4	4	\$20,196	1	0	\$658	\$263	\$263		
Improved Water Application-(ac.)	542	43,344	\$12,380							
Irrigation Canal or Lateral-(ft.)	2	1,200	\$13,500							
Irrigation Field Ditch-(ft.)	5	11,230	\$9,083	1	2,310	\$3,155	\$1,733	\$1,733		
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	154	28,049	\$592,695	60	5,016	\$798,638	\$296,732	\$296,732		
Irrigation Regulating Reservoir-(no.)	3	3	\$35,372	2	2	\$361,150	\$34,772	\$34,772		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	378	36,146	\$2,197,510	161	20,540	\$12,852,070	\$1,242,531	\$1,242,531		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	115	35,566	\$756,882	35	1,178	\$841,548	\$296,276	\$296,276		
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(no.)	78	325	\$134,756	13	27	\$186,506	\$94,653	\$94,653		
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.)	164	70,468	\$1,040,324	63	1,075	\$916,928	\$461,358	\$461,358		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and Canal Lining -	51	150,098	\$468,715	29	60,513	\$7,910,312	\$272,528	\$272,528		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Aluminum	4	11,080	\$14,160	1	1,000	\$2,066	\$1,000	\$1,000		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - High-pressure,	250	666,787	\$1,202,628	109	305,519	\$1,758,982	\$625,024	\$625,024		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Low-pressure,	337	670,636	\$1,975,404	151	360,535	\$2,262,183	\$929,646	\$929,646		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Nonreinforced	10	15,487	\$133,924	7	8,057	\$99,828	\$60,370	\$60,370		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Rigid Gated	46	89,978	\$232,683	14	24,982	\$115,469	\$69,027	\$69,027		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline - Steel-(ft.)	1	400	\$1,638	1	400	\$2,520	\$1,638	\$1,638		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	3,475	341,799	\$362,806	104	14,886	\$378,966	\$145,510	\$145,510		
Land Grading-(ac.)	14	4,305	\$32,386	3	1,074	\$40,684	\$17,367	\$17,367		
Land Smoothing-(ac.)	22	690	\$27,219	7	315	\$37,743	\$19,259	\$19,259		
Lined Waterway or Outlet-(ft.)	55	8,800	\$190,828	23	2,289	\$202,516	\$70,275	\$70,275		
Manure Transfer-(no.)	76	15,198	\$148,862	7	4,514	\$118,172	\$67,254	\$67,254		
Mulching-(ac.)	41	992	\$132,323	16	593	\$224,292	\$77,550	\$77,550		
Nutrient Management-(ac.)	3,911	373,873	\$218,104	103	7,324	\$106,634	\$50,041	\$50,041		
Pasture & Hayland Mgt(ac.)	32	3,632	\$0	3	188	\$0	\$0	\$0		

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practices_by_State, designed 2/23/2000, Page 14 of 154

Data source: USDA-FSA type04 and type11 recordsets

Tuesday, November 13, 2001

Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

Cuijoniu	Practices Planned					Practices Installed				
			Cost-Shares			Total Cost	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares		
Practice	Number	Amount	Approved	<u>Number</u>	Amount	of Installation	Approved	Earned		
Pasture & Hayland Planting-(ac.)	99	10,633	\$150,170	15	675	\$63,187	\$34,959	\$34,959		
Pest Management-(ac.)	2,887	236,389	\$811,325	114	9,595	\$286,099	\$136,595	\$136,595		
Pipeline-(ft.)	422	1,212,817	\$799,907	82	377,838	\$434,171	\$207,146	\$207,146		
Planned Grazing System-(ac.)	5	80	\$0							
Pond Sealing or Lining - Bentonite Sealant-(no.)	3	3	\$2,800							
Pond Sealing or Lining - Flexible Membrane-(no.)	2	4	\$5,025							
Pond Sealing or Lining-Asphalt - Sealed Fabric Liner-(no	.) 1	1	\$12,090	1	1	\$12,090	\$12,090	\$12,090		
Pond-(no.)	77	45,812	\$399,441	18	11,985	\$193,981	\$126,247	\$126,247		
Precision Land Forming-(ac.)	3	18	\$6,322	2	8	\$7,270	\$3,622	\$3,622		
Prescribed Burning-(ac.)	24	2,371	\$12,413	1	12	\$1,075	\$530	\$530		
Prescribed Grazing-(ac.)	1,455	993,182	\$177,693	35	12,265	\$136,695	\$29,969	\$29,969		
Pumping Plant for Water Control-(no.)	82	66,822	\$286,156	31	171	\$222,856	\$101,124	\$101,124		
Range Planting-(ac.)	179	17,878	\$390,299	29	3,013	\$169,132	\$88,209	\$88,209		
Residue Management, Mulch till-(ac.)	5	493	\$0							
Residue Management, No-till & Strip Till-(ac.)	1	55	\$0							
Residue Management, Seasonal-(ac.)	399	21,993	\$12,742	4	400	\$12,486	\$7,530	\$7,530		
Restoration And Management of Declining Habitats-(ac.)	1	103	\$0							
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	56	892	\$59,488	8	23	\$14,500	\$9,665	\$9,665		
Riparian Forest Buffer-(ac.)	1	8,000	\$7,800							
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (ac.)	2	4,213	\$4,234							
Road/Landing Removal-(ac.)	1	1	\$1,500							
Roof Runoff Management-(no.)	68	6,465	\$124,766	11	2,183	\$59,746	\$36,525	\$36,525		
Row Arrangement-(ac.)	134	11,072	\$12,268	12	7,274	\$8,106	\$4,352	\$4,352		
Sediment Basin-(no.)	63	2,396	\$51,401	23	642	\$47,100	\$14,860	\$14,860		
Soil Salinity Control-(ac.)	108	30,826	\$22,017	6	240	\$21,787	\$9,944	\$9,944		
Soil Salinity Management-Nonirrigated-(ac.)	7	1,543	\$3,834	6	1,533	\$3,834	\$3,834	\$3,834		
Spoil Spreading-(ft.)	6	1,968	\$1,861	4	1,589	\$2,210	\$1,190	\$1,190		
Spring Development-(no.)	234	1,107	\$253,292	38	60	\$1,089,893	\$41,113	\$41,113		
Stream Channel Stabilization-(ft.)	27	4,180	\$44,499	3	1,251	\$16,661	\$10,470	\$10,470		
Stream Corridore Improvement-(ft.)	10	11,817	\$12,741							

MS Access Report: EQIP_Practices_by_State, designed 2/23/2000, Page 15 of 154

Data source: USDA-FSA type04 and type11 recordsets

Tuesday, November 13, 2001

Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State for all years

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

California

·	Practices Planned					Practices Installed			
		Cost-Shares				Total Cost	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	
Practice	<u>Number</u>	Amount	Approved	Number	Amount	of Installation	Approved	Earned	
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management-(ft.)	42	5,491	\$193,888	22	1,423	\$232,027	\$70,846	\$70,846	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection-(ft.)	319	142,568	\$1,580,668	84	21,452	\$720,150	\$405,802	\$405,802	
Structure for Water Control	479	5,707	\$536,950	139	2,872	\$1,382,821	\$190,042	\$190,042	
Subsurface Drain-(ft.)	125	1,696,292	\$979,649	60	905,148	\$2,256,187	\$448,499	\$448,499	
Surface Drainage, Field Ditch-(ft.)	2	4,800	\$2,950	1	2,600	\$3,272	\$1,300	\$1,300	
Terrace-(ft.)	2	6,600	\$940	2	6,600	\$1,690	\$940	\$940	
Toxic Salt Reduction-(ac.)	47	6,076	\$10,250	6	275	\$11,591	\$4,130	\$4,130	
Tree/Shrub Establishment-(ac.)	208	5,167	\$276,770	17	58	\$38,927	\$24,900	\$24,900	
Tree/Shrub Pruning-(ac.)	97	1,503	\$82,406	7	73	\$12,770	\$7,699	\$7,699	
Underground Outlet-(ft.)	213	129,577	\$727,723	67	41,857	\$441,255	\$241,468	\$241,468	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	462	94,880	\$47,463	58	600	\$28,215	\$13,375	\$13,375	
Use Exclusion-(ac.)	68	4,524	\$5,223	7	44	\$3,825	\$3,769	\$3,769	
Vegetative Buffer Strips-(ac.)	2	2	\$575						
Waste Management System-(no.)	93	166	\$221,050	7	7	\$72,562	\$44,063	\$44,063	
Waste Storage Facility-(no.)	154	78,781	\$1,110,280	57	33,691	\$954,223	\$507,743	\$507,743	
Waste Treatment Lagoon-(no.)	14	16	\$48,347	4	6	\$117,561	\$39,397	\$39,397	
Waste Utilization-(ac.)	502	57,928	\$6,010	2	21	\$3,020	\$1,510	\$1,510	
Water & Sediment Control Basin-(no.)	107	4,477	\$236,008	15	20	\$72,469	\$30,956	\$30,956	
Water Well-(no.)	23	23	\$74,659	8	8	\$48,238	\$28,445	\$28,445	
Watering Facility-(no.)	589	69,642	\$680,861	88	7,592	\$222,300	\$117,368	\$117,368	
Wetland Restoration-(ac.)	10	457	\$39,157	5	21	\$37,120	\$27,594	\$27,594	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management-(ac.)	115	27,160	\$12,438	15	121	\$10,497	\$5,050	\$5,050	
Wildlife Watering Facility-(no.)	20	42	\$18,163	8	16	\$8,633	\$4,890	\$4,890	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment-(ft.)	31	40,368	\$30,995	5	4,790	\$7,640	\$3,869	\$3,869	
Totals for California	29,335		\$29,134,787	3,103		\$44,647,682	\$10,244,637	\$10,244,637	

 $MS\ Access\ Report:\ EQIP_Practices_by_State,\ designed\ 2/23/2000,\ Page\ 16\ of\ 154$

Data source: USDA-FSA type04 and type11 recordsets

Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State - Irrigation - FY2000 EQIP Irrigation Conservation Practices Planned and Installed by State - FY2001 EQIP

Data for EQIP Contracts through 4th Quarter, FY2001

a 1.c	•
Califo _s	rnıa
Curijo	

	Practices Planned				Practices Installed					
		Units	Cost-Shares		Units	Cost-Shares	Cost-Shares	Total Cost		
<u>Practice</u>	<u>Number</u>	Approved	Approved	Number	Installed*	Approved	Paid	of Installation		
Irrigation Land Leveling-(ac.)	20	1,360	\$77,217	5	0	\$33,323	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation System-Microirrigation-(ac.)	81	11,287	\$355,346	16	0	\$92,668	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation System-Sprinkler-(ac.)	31	26,530	\$243,608	12	0	\$92,600	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation System-Surface & Subsurface-(n	o.) 20	64	\$30,462							
Irrigation System-Tailwater Recovery-(no.) 34	65,684	\$266,826	6	0	\$22,258	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Ditch and	11	55,485	\$112,476	5	0	\$50,810	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline -	35	138,799	\$233,797	18	0	\$135,698	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline -	84	148,349	\$639,864	42	0	\$346,228	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline -	1	1,110	\$15,554							
Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline -	21	33,016	\$119,296	3	0	\$30,500	\$0	\$0		
Irrigation Water Management-(ac.)	784	81,478	\$41,618	76	0	\$7,914	\$0	\$0		
Toxic Salt Reduction-(ac.)	10	3,487	\$0							
Totals for California	1,132		\$2,136,064	183		\$811,999	\$0	\$0		

^{*} Units Installed was not reported until 2nd quarter FY2001, therefore this value is not a true representation of the extent performed. MS Access Report: Practices_by_State-Irrig, designed 3/1/2000, Page 3 of 40

Data source: USDA-FSA type04 and type11 recordsets